













Theoretical limits of program analysis

Every analysis that attempts to check an aspect of program behavior must sometimes either (Rice):

- 🦲 Give a false positive,
- 🖲 Give a false negative, or
- 🖲 Fail to terminate









Evaluation components

- 10% Reading questions
- 10% Class attendance and participation
- 10% In-class paper presentation
- 20% Hands-on assignments
- 50% Research project

Readings

- Linked from the course web page
- Average of two 10-page papers per class
- Most either public or UMN-licensed
- 🖲 Take notes while reading
- Bring a copy (to refer to) to class
- Also: optional and historical

Reading questions

- Goal: make sure you read and understand the papers
- One general question per paper
- Average one extra question per class



Class participation The goal of a seminar is discussion, not lecture I expect everyone to contribute Aim is not to show off knowledge An interesting question > a straightforward answer







- Idea: microcosm of research experience
- Formulate a question, answer it, convince others of your results
- Preferred group size of 2





Collaboration and cheating

- Principle: learn from each other, but don't substitute another's understanding for your own
- Cardinal sin: taking ideas without acknowledgment



Outline

Big-Picture Introduction

Course Logistics

Topics Overview

Core techniques

Before spring break: more in depth on techniques with many applications and variations

Dynamic taint analysis

Track at runtime how a program uses confidential or untrusted data

Symbolic execution

Perform logical reasoning about related executions along a single execution path, and explore which such paths are possible

Information flow analysis

Track whether information from one point can affect data at another Quantitative information flow: measure how much information can flow as a number of bits

SFI and Native Client

Rewrite untrusted code at the instruction level to enforce isolation

CFI and program hardening

Rewrite buggy code to neutralize potential vulnerabilities

Further topics

- After spring break: quicker looks at smaller or less central techniques and problem areas
- We'll need to choose a subset of the following

Test generation

Create tests that reveal vulnerabilities (e.g., better "fuzzing")

Policy inference

Automatically determine what should be protected or how

Side-channel attacks

Attacks that go outside the usual abstractions, such as by using hardware to subvert software protections

Side-channel defenses

Stopping outside-the-box attacks

Dealing with bugs at scale

Can we ever deal with all the bugs in real systems?

Specs and verification

Can we do better if we actually have a machine representation of what a program should do?

Reverse engineering

Can we recover higher-level information given just a binary?

Differential privacy

Tool support for provably protecting statistical disclosures with noise

Programming cryptography

Deriving secure multi-party protocols from a naive implementation

Program obfuscation

Transforming programs so you can't learn much by looking at them

ROP and shellcode

Techniques for bad guys to get their attacks to run under defensive constraints, such as return-oriented programming

Web applications

Security for sever-side and client-side web software

Smartphone applications

Security in new mobile platforms such as iOS and Android