CSci 5271 Introduction to Computer Security Day 23: Usability and security

Stephen McCamant University of Minnesota, Computer Science & Engineering

Outline

Denial of service and the network

- Usability and security
- Announcements intermission
- Usable security example areas
- Bonus: anonymity overlays

DoS against network services

- Common example: keep legitimate users from viewing a web site
- Easy case: pre-forked server supports 100 simultaneous connections
- Fill them with very very slow downloads

Tiny bit of queueing theory

- Mathematical theory of waiting in line
- Simple case: random arrival, sequential fixed-time service, "M/D/1"
 - M: memoryless arrival process
 - D: deterministic service process
 - 1: one server

Simple queue analysis

Arrival rate > service rate: queue grows without bound

Simple queue analysis

- Arrival rate > service rate: queue grows without bound
- Arrival rate < service rate: finite expected queue length

Simple queue analysis

- Arrival rate > service rate: queue grows without bound
- Arrival rate < service rate: finite expected queue length
- Arrival rate = service rate:

Simple queue analysis

- Arrival rate > service rate: queue grows without bound
- Arrival rate < service rate: finite expected queue length
- Arrival rate = service rate: Queue still grows without bound!

SYN flooding SYN is first of three packets to set up new connection Traditional implementation allocates space for control data However much you allow, attacker fills with unfinished connections

Early limits were very low (10-100)

SYN cookies

- Change server behavior to stateless approach
- Embed small amount of needed information in fields that will be echoed in third packet
 - MAC-like construction
- Other disadvantages, so usual implementations used only under attack

DoS against network links Traffic multipliers Image: Try to use all available bandwidth, crowd out real traffic Third party networks (not attacker or victim) Image: Brute force but still potentially effective One input packet causes n output packets Image: Baseline attacker power measured by packet sending rate Commonly, victim's address is forged source, multiple replies Image: Misuse of debugging features Misuse of debugging features



- ICMP echo request with forged source
- Sent to a network broadcast address
- Every recipient sends reply
- Now mostly fixed by disabling this feature

Distributed DoS

- Many attacker machines, one victim
- Easy if you own a botnet
- Impractical to stop bots one-by-one
- May prefer legitimate-looking traffic over weird attacks
 - Main consideration is difficulty to filter



Users are not 'ideal components'

- Frustrates engineers: cannot give users instructions like a computer
 Closest approximation: military
- Unrealistic expectations are bad for security





- "User error" can be the end of a discussion
- This is a poor excuse
- Almost any "user error" could be avoidable with better systems and procedures



Perspectives from psychology Users become habituated to experiences and processes Learn "skill" of clicking OK in dialog boxes Heuristic factors affect perception of risk Level of control, salience of examples Social pressures can override security rules

Social engineering" attacks

User attention is a resource

- Users have limited attention to devote to security
 - Exaggeration: treat as fixed
- If you waste attention on unimportant things, it won't be available when you need it
- Fable of the boy who cried wolf

Research: ecological validity

- User behavior with respect to security is hard to study
- Experimental settings are not like real situations
- Subjects often:
 - Have little really at stake
 - Expect experimenters will protect them
 - Do what seems socially acceptable
 - Do what they think the experimenters want



When is it acceptable to deceive subjects?

Many security problems naturally include deception

Outline

Denial of service and the network

Usability and security

Announcements intermission

Usable security example areas

Bonus: anonymity overlays

Upcoming due dates

Tonight and tomorrow night: Ex. 4, HA2
 Next week: nothing (only one lecture)
 After Thanksgiving: 3rd progress report, presentations

Reminder: VMs are not backed up

- Because of their size, hands-on assignment VMs are on non-backed-up local disks
- Hard disk failure occasionally happens, might destroy your VM
- Keep another copy of your important data elsewhere

OutlineDenial of service and the network Tech in theUsability and securityClass can'tClass can'tPGPUsable security example areasStillBonus: anonymity overlays

Email encryption Technology became available with PGP in the early 90s Classic depressing study: "Why Johnny can't encrypt: a usability evaluation of PGP 5.0" (USENIX Security 1999) Still an open "challenge problem" Also some other non-UI difficulties: adoption, govt. policy

Phishing Attacker sends email appearing to come from an institution you trust

- Links to web site where you type your password, etc.
- Spear phishing: individually targeted, can be much more effective





Older SSL warning





Modern Firefox warning









Advance fee fraud

"Why do Nigerian Scammers say they are from Nigeria?" (Herley, WEIS 2012)

Short answer: false positives

- Sending spam is cheap
- But, luring victims is expensive
- Scammer wants to minimize victims who respond but ultimately don't pay



Permissions manifest

- Android approach: present listed of requested permissions at install time
- Can be hard question to answer hypothetically
 - Users may have hard time understanding implications
- User choices seem to put low value on privacy

Time-of-use checks

- iOS approach: for narrower set of permissions, ask on each use
- Proper context makes decisions clearer
- But, have to avoid asking about common things
- iOS app store is also more closely curated



Outline

- Denial of service and the network
- Usability and security
- Announcements intermission
- Usable security example areas
- Bonus: anonymity overlays



Anonymous remailers

- Anonymizing intermediaries for email
 First cuts had single points of failure
- Mix and forward messages after receiving a sufficiently-large batch
- Chain together mixes with multiple layers of encryption
- Fancy systems didn't get critical mass of users







Client perspective

Install Tor client running in background
 Configure browser to use Tor as proxy
 Or complete Tor+Proxy+Browser bundle
 Browse web as normal, but a lot slower
 Also, sometimes google.com is in Swedish





Hidden services

- Tor can be used by servers as well as clients
- Identified by cryptographic key, use special rendezvous protocol
- Servers often present easier attack surface



