Neural Object Detection

Hyun Soo Park

Image Classification vs. Object Detection



Image classification

Object detection

Object Detection Pipeline

Input image

Object Detection Pipeline

Input image



Localization

Sliding window

Object Detection Pipeline

Input image



Localization

Sliding window

Feature extraction

HOG/SIFT/BoW

Object Detection Pipeline

Input image



Localization

Sliding window

Feature extraction

HOG/SIFT/BoW



Classification

SVM

Limitations

Slow
~ 1M of evaluations

Shallow

n-classifiers

R-CNN (Girshick et al.)





Input image	Localization	Feature extraction	Classification
	Sliding window	**CNN**	SVM
Limitations	Slow ~ 1M of evaluations	**Deep**	n-classifiers

R-CNN (Girshick et al.)





Input image	Localization	Feature extraction	Classification
	Region proposal	**CNN**	SVM
Limitations	**2000 evluations**	**Deep**	n-classifiers

R-CNN (Girshick et al.)



Input image | Localization | Feature extraction | Classification

Region proposal | **CNN** | SVM

Limitations | **2000 evluations** | **Deep** | n-classifiers

OBJECTNESS (SELECTIVE SEARCH~UIJLINGS ET AL.)



- Merging regions from over-segmentation
- Objectness classification via BoW on merged regions

Objectness (Selective Search~Uijlings et al.)





R-CNN (Girshick et al.)

Input image

Localization

Region proposal

Feature extraction

CNN

Classification

SVM

Limitations

2000 evluations

Deep

n-classifiers

DOMAIN ADAPTATION (IMAGE CLASS. → OBJECT DET.)



4096x1000

1000 image classes (~15M)

Domain Adaptation (Image Class. → Object Det.)



4096x21

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \mathbf{w}_n}$$

w/ small learning rate

1000 image classes (~15M)

20 object classes (~20K)

REGION-CNN



Region proposal

REGION-CNN



Region proposal

TRAINING DATA



Region proposal

GT

REGION-CNN



Region proposal

GT

Region proposal

\+ if

intersection of union (IoU) > 0.5

REGION-CNN



Region proposal

GT



Detection offset

Region proposal

+ if

intersection of union (IoU) > 0.5

REGION-CNN



Region proposal

GT

Region proposal

+ if

intersection of union (IoU) > 0.5

Recale



AlexNet

REGION-CNN



Region proposal

GT



Region proposal

+ if

intersection of union (IoU) > 0.5

Recale



AlexNet

FC

Region classification
person?

Bounding box regression
(x,y,W,H)

Relative offset:

$$x = \frac{P_x - G_x}{P_W}, y = \frac{P_y - G_y}{P_H}, W = \log(\frac{G_W}{P_W}), H = \log(\frac{G_H}{P_H})$$

(P_x, P_y, P_W, P_H)

(G_x, G_y, G_W, G_H)

R-CNN (Girshick et al.)

Input image

Localization

Region proposal

Feature extraction

CNN



Classification

SVM

Limitations

2000 evluations

Deep

n-classifiers

CLASSIFICATION





Max margin SVM classifier

$\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{w} + \mathbf{b} > 0$ Positive D.

$\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{w} + \mathbf{b} < 0$ Negative D.


Mean Average Precision (mAP)
80
60
40
20
0
VOC 2007
VOC 2010
33.7
29.6
41.7
39.7
54.2
50.2
58.5
53.7
66
62.9
DPM (2011)
Regionlets (2013)
R-CNN (2014, AlexNet)
R-CNN + bbox reg (AlexNet)
R-CNN (VGG-16)


ILSVRC2013 detection test set mAP
*R−CNN BB
31.4%
*OverFeat (2)
24.3%
UvA−Euvision
22.6%
*NEC−MU
20.9%
*OverFeat (1)
19.4%
Toronto A
11.5%
SYSU_Vision
10.5%
GPU_UCLA
9.8%
Delta
6.1%
UIUC−IFP
1.0%
competition result
post competition result
0
20
40
60
80
100
mean average precision (mAP) in %

VOC 2007 test	aero	bike	bird	boat	bottle	bus	car	cat	chair	cow	table	dog	horse	mbike	person	plant	sheep	sofa	train	tv	mAP
R-CNN $pool_5$	51.8	60.2	36.4	27.8	23.2	52.8	60.6	49.2	18.3	47.8	44.3	40.8	56.6	58.7	42.4	23.4	46.1	36.7	51.3	55.7	44.2
R-CNN fc_6	59.3	61.8	43.1	34.0	25.1	53.1	60.6	52.8	21.7	47.8	42.7	47.8	52.5	58.5	44.6	25.6	48.3	34.0	53.1	58.0	46.2
R-CNN fc_7	57.6	57.9	38.5	31.8	23.7	51.2	58.9	51.4	20.0	50.5	40.9	46.0	51.6	55.9	43.3	23.3	48.1	35.3	51.0	57.4	44.7
R-CNN FT $pool_5$	58.2	63.3	37.9	27.6	26.1	54.1	66.9	51.4	26.7	55.5	43.4	43.1	57.7	59.0	45.8	28.1	50.8	40.6	53.1	56.4	47.3
R-CNN FT fc_6	63.5	66.0	47.9	37.7	29.9	62.5	70.2	60.2	32.0	57.9	47.0	53.5	60.1	64.2	52.2	31.3	55.0	50.0	57.7	63.0	53.1
R-CNN FT fc_7	64.2	69.7	50.0	41.9	32.0	62.6	71.0	60.7	32.7	58.5	46.5	56.1	60.6	66.8	54.2	31.5	52.8	48.9	57.9	64.7	54.2
R-CNN FT fc_7 BB	**68.1**	**72.8**	**56.8**	**43.0**	**36.8**	**66.3**	**74.2**	**67.6**	**34.4**	**63.5**	**54.5**	**61.2**	**69.1**	**68.6**	**58.7**	**33.4**	**62.9**	**51.1**	**62.5**	**64.8**	**58.5**
DPM v5 [20]	33.2	60.3	10.2	16.1	27.3	54.3	58.2	23.0	20.0	24.1	26.7	12.7	58.1	48.2	43.2	12.0	21.1	36.1	46.0	43.5	33.7
DPM ST [28]	23.8	58.2	10.5	8.5	27.1	50.4	52.0	7.3	19.2	22.8	18.1	8.0	55.9	44.8	32.4	13.3	15.9	22.8	46.2	44.9	29.1
DPM HSC [31]	32.2	58.3	11.5	16.3	30.6	49.9	54.8	23.5	21.5	27.7	34.0	13.7	58.1	51.6	39.9	12.4	23.5	34.4	47.4	45.2	34.3

Table 2: Detection average precision (%) on VOC 2007 test. Rows 1-3 show R-CNN performance without fine-tuning. Rows 4-6 show results for the CNN pre-trained on ILSVRC 2012 and then fine-tuned (FT) on VOC 2007 trainval. Row 7 includes a simple bounding-box regression (BB) stage that reduces localization errors (Section C). Rows 8-10 present DPM methods as a strong baseline. The first uses only HOG, while the next two use different feature learning approaches to augment or replace HOG.


lemon 0.79
lemon 0.70
lemon 0.50


person 0.88
person 0.72

cocktail shaker 0.56

dog 0.85
dog 0.57
dog 0.97

dog 0.95
helmet 0.65
bird 0.62
dog 0.84

person 0.75
snowmobile 0.83
person 0.58

person 0.82
bow tie 0.86

bird 0.61

doo 0.86
domestic cat 0.57
dog 0.81

bird 0.96

sofa 0.71
dog 0.77

dog 0.95


ladybug 1.00
dog 0.55

person 0.87

car 0.96
car 0.66

bird 0.98

watercraft 1.00
person 0.65
watercraft 0.69

pretzel 0.78

person 0.52
person 0.52
person 0.58

bird 0.99
bird 0.91
bird 0.75


tennis ball 0.67


rabbit 1.00


person 0.81
dog 0.98


person 0.92
sunglasses 0.52


watercraft 0.86


milk can 1.00
milk can 1.00


bookshelf 0.50
chair 0.86


person 0.87
giant panda 0.61


antelope 0.74
dog 0.87
horse 0.78
cattle 0.81


pomegranate 1.00


tv or monitor 0.52


antelope 0.68


snake 0.60


dog 0.88
dog 0.98


person 0.79
snake 0.76


tv or monitor tv or monitor 0.54
tv or monitor 0.58
table 0.82


lamp 0.65
lamp 0.86
table 0.83


monkey 1.00
monkey 1.00
monkey 0.52
monkey 0.88
monkey 0.90


fox 0.91
fox 1.00


watercraft 0.91
watercraft 0.56
person 0.88



Figure 4: Top regions for six pool_5 units. Receptive fields and activation values are drawn in white. Some units are aligned to concepts, such as people (row 1) or text (4). Other units capture texture and material properties, such as dot arrays (2) and specular reflections (6).

pool5 feature: (3,3,1) (top 1 – 24)



pool5 feature: (3,3,2) (top 1 – 24)

pool5 feature: (3,3,3) (top 1 – 24)

pool5 feature: (3,3,4) (top 1 – 24)

pool5 feature: (3,3,5) (top 1 – 24)

pool5 feature: (3,3,6) (top 1 – 24)

pool5 feature: (3,3,7) (top 1 – 24)

pool5 feature: (3,3,8) (top 1 – 24)

pool5 feature: (3,3,9) (top 1 – 24)

pool5 feature: (3,3,10) (top 1 – 24)

Object Detection Pipeline





Input image	Localization	Feature extraction	Classification
	Region proposal	CNN	SVM
Limitations	2000 evluations	Deep	n-classifiers
	Too slow in testing time	**Post-hoc optimization**	

Redundant Feature Map





FEATURE MAP RECYCLING



Groun truth region



Input: image/RoI

BACK-PROPAGATION



Groun truth region



Input: image/RoI

	Fast R-CNN			R-CNN			SPPnet
	S	**M**	**L**	**S**	**M**	**L**	†**L**
train time (h)	**1.2**	2.0	9.5	22	28	84	25
train speedup	**18.3**×	14.0×	8.8×	1×	1×	1×	3.4×
test rate (s/im)	0.10	0.15	0.32	9.8	12.1	47.0	2.3
▷ with SVD	**0.06**	0.08	0.22	-	-	-	-
test speedup	98×	80×	146×	1×	1×	1×	20×
▷ with SVD	169×	150×	**213**×	-	-	-	-
VOC07 mAP	57.1	59.2	**66.9**	58.5	60.2	66.0	63.1
▷ with SVD	56.5	58.7	66.6	-	-	-	-

method	train set	aero	bike	bird	boat	bottle	bus	car	cat	chair	cow	table	dog	horse	mbike	persn	plant	sheep	sofa	train	tv	mAP
BabyLearning	Prop.	78.0	74.2	61.3	45.7	42.7	68.2	66.8	80.2	40.6	70.0	49.8	79.0	74.5	77.9	64.0	35.3	67.9	55.7	68.7	62.6	63.2
NUS_NIN_c2000	Unk.	80.2	73.8	61.9	43.7	**43.0**	70.3	67.6	80.7	41.9	69.7	51.7	78.2	75.2	76.9	65.1	**38.6**	**68.3**	58.0	68.7	63.3	63.8
R-CNN BB [10]	12	79.6	72.7	61.9	41.2	41.9	65.9	66.4	84.6	38.5	67.2	46.7	82.0	74.8	76.0	65.2	35.6	65.4	54.2	67.4	60.3	62.4
FRCN [ours]	12	80.3	74.7	66.9	46.9	37.7	73.9	68.6	87.7	41.7	71.1	51.1	86.0	77.8	79.8	69.8	32.1	65.5	63.8	76.4	61.7	65.7
FRCN [ours]	07++12	**82.3**	**78.4**	**70.8**	**52.3**	38.7	**77.8**	**71.6**	**89.3**	**44.2**	**73.0**	**55.0**	**87.5**	**80.5**	**80.8**	**72.0**	35.1	**68.3**	**65.7**	**80.4**	**64.2**	**68.4**

Table 3. **VOC 2012 test** detection average precision (%). BabyLearning and NUS_NIN_c2000 use networks based on [17]. All other methods use VGG16. Training set key: see Table 2, **Unk.**: unknown.

method	classifier	**S**	**M**	**L**
R-CNN [9, 10]	SVM	**58.5**	**60.2**	66.0
FRCN [ours]	SVM	56.3	58.7	66.8
FRCN [ours]	softmax	57.1	59.2	**66.9**

FASTER RCNN (REN ET AL.)

Input image



Localization

Region proposal

Feature extraction

CNN



Classification

SVM

One network

Region Proposal Network

Region Proposal Network



Proposed regions

3 scales

Region Proposal Network



Proposed regions

3 scales
3 aspect ratio

9 proposals per anchor

Region Proposal Network



Proposed regions

3 scales
3 aspect ratio

9 proposals per anchor



FASTER RCNN


Object proposal per pixel
Region classification
object?
Bounding box regression
(x,y,W,H)
FC
Conv. layers
Input: image

FASTER RCNN


Object proposal per pixel
Region classification
object?
Bounding box regression
(x,y,W,H)
FC
Conv. layers
Input: image

FASTER RCNN


Object proposal per pixel
Region classification
object?
Bounding box regression
(x,y,W,H)
FC
Object classification
person?
RoI pooling
FC
Conv. layers
Input: image


person : 0.992
horse : 0.993
car : 1.000
person : 0.979
dog : 0.994
cat : 0.982
bus : 0.998
person : 0.736
boat : 0.970
person : 0.983
person : 0.983
person : 0.925
person : 0.989
Lickety Split

Table 2: Detection results on **PASCAL VOC 2007 test set**. The detector is Fast R-CNN and VGG-16. Training data: "07": VOC 2007 trainval, "07+12": union set of VOC 2007 trainval and VOC 2012 trainval. For RPN, the train-time proposals for Fast R-CNN are 2k. †: this was reported in [5]; using the repository provided by this paper, this number is higher (68.0±0.3 in six runs).

method	# proposals	data	mAP (%)	time (ms)
SS	2k	07	66.9†	1830
SS	2k	07+12	70.0	1830
RPN+VGG, unshared	300	07	68.5	342
RPN+VGG, shared	300	07	69.9	**198**
RPN+VGG, shared	300	07+12	**73.2**	**198**

Object Detection Pipeline

Input image



Localization

Region proposal

Per grid classification

Feature extraction

CNN



Classification

SVM

YOLO (You Only Look Once, Redmon et al.)



YOLO (You Only Look Once, Redmon et al.)



Real-Time Detectors	Train	mAP	FPS
100Hz DPM [31]	2007	16.0	100
30Hz DPM [31]	2007	26.1	30
Fast YOLO	2007+2012	52.7	**155**
YOLO	2007+2012	**63.4**	45
Less Than Real-Time			
Fastest DPM [38]	2007	30.4	15
R-CNN Minus R [20]	2007	53.5	6
Fast R-CNN [14]	2007+2012	70.0	0.5
Faster R-CNN VGG-16[28]	2007+2012	73.2	7
Faster R-CNN ZF [28]	2007+2012	62.1	18
YOLO VGG-16	2007+2012	66.4	21


person


horse
person
person


person
person
bottle
diningtable


person
dog


person
cat


bird
bird


aeroplane
car
car


person
boat


aeroplane
aeroplane
aeroplane
aeroplane
aeroplane
aeroplane

https://www.youtube.com/watch?time_continue=10&v=VOC3huqHrss
https://www.youtube.com/watch?time_continue=164&v=MPU2HistivI