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Spatial Big Data (SBD) - Summary

• SBD are important to society
  – Ex. Eco-routing, Public Safety & Security, Understanding Climate Change
• SBD exceed capacity of current computing systems
• DBMS Challenges
  – Eco-Routing: Lagrangian frame, Non-Stationary Ranking
  – Privacy vs. Utility Trade-offs
• Data Analytics Opportunities
  – Post Markov Assumption – Estimate Neighbor Relationship from SBD
  – Place based Ensemble Models to address spatial heterogeneity
  – Bigger the spatial data, simpler may be the spatial models
  – Online Spatial Data Analytics
• Platform Challenges
  – Map-reduce – expensive reduce not suitable for iterative computations
  – Load balancing is harder for maps with polygons and line-strings
  – Spatial Hadoop?
Relational to Spatial DBMS to SBD Management

• 1980s: Relational DBMS
  • Relational Algebra, B+Tree index
  • Query Processing, e.g. sort-merge equi-join algorithms, …
• Spatial customer (e.g. NASA, USPS) faced challenges
  • Semantic Gap
    • Verbose description for distance, direction, overlap
    • Shortest path is Transitive closure
  • Performance challenge due to linearity assumption
    • Are Sorting & B+ tree appropriate for geographic data?
• New ideas emerged in 1990s
  • Spatial data types and operations (e.g. OGIS Simple Features)
  • R-tree, Spatial-Join-Index, space partitioning, …

• SBD may require new thinking for
  • Temporally detailed roadmaps
  • Eco-routing queries
  • Privacy vs. Utility Trade-off
Data Mining to Spatial Data Mining to SBD Analytics

- 1990s: Data Mining
  - Scale up traditional models (e.g., Regression) to large relational databases (460 Tbytes)
  - New pattern families: Associations: Which items are bought together? (Ex. Diaper, beer)

- Spatial customers
  - Walmart: Which items are bought just before/after events, e.g. hurricanes?
    - Where is a pattern (e.g., (diaper-beer) prevalent?
  - Global climate change: tele-connections

- But faced challenges
  - Independent Identical Distribution assumption not reasonable for spatial data
  - Transactions, i.e. disjoint partitioning of data, not natural for continuous space

- This led to Spatial Data Mining (last decade)

- SBD raise new questions
  - May SBD address open questions, e.g. estimate spatial neighborhood (e.g., W matrix)?
  - Does SBD facilitate better spatial models, e.g., place based ensembles beyond GWR?
  - (When) Does bigger spatial data lead to simpler models, e.g. database as a model?
  - On-line Spatio-temporal Data Analytics
Parallelizing Spatial Big Data on Cloud Computing

• Case 1: Compute Spatial-Autocorrelation Simpler to Parallelize
  – Map-reduce is okay
  – Should it provide spatial de-clustering services?
  – Can query-compiler generate map-reduce parallel code?

• Case 2: Harder : Parallelize Range Query on Polygon Maps
  – Need dynamic load balancing beyond map-reduce
  – MPI or OpenMP is better!

• Case 3: Estimate Spatial Auto-Regression Parameters, Routing
  – Map-reduce is inefficient for iterative computations due to expensive “reduce”!
  – Ex. Golden section search, Determinant of large matrix
  – Ex. Eco-routing algorithms, Evacuation route planning
  – Option 1: Develop non-iterative formulations of spatial problems
  – Option 2: Alternative Platform: MPI, OpenMP, Pregel or Spatial Hadoop
Spatial Databases: Representative Projects

Parallelize Range Queries

Evacuation Route Planning

- only in old plan
- Only in new plan
- In both plans

Shortest Paths  Storing graphs in disk blocks
Spatial Data Mining: Representative Projects

**Location prediction: nesting sites**
- Nest locations
- Distance to open water
  
  ![](image1)

- Vegetation durability
- Water depth
  
  ![](image2)

**Spatial outliers: sensor (#9) on I-35**

![Average Traffic Volume](image3)

**Co-location Patterns**

![Co-location Patterns](image4)

**Tele connections**

![Tele connections](image5)
Spatial Computing: Recent Trends
Motivation for Spatial Big Data (SBD)

• Societal:
  • Google Earth, Google Maps, Navigation, location-based service
  • Global Challenges facing humanity – many are geo-spatial!
  • Many may benefit from Big Spatial Data
The study estimates that the use of personal location data could save consumers worldwide more than $600 billion annually by 2020. Computers determine users’ whereabouts by tracking their mobile devices, like cellphones. The study cites smartphone location services including Foursquare and Loopt, for locating friends, and ones for finding nearby stores and restaurants.

But the biggest single consumer benefit, the study says, is going to come from time and fuel savings from location-based services — tapping into real-time traffic and weather data — that help drivers avoid congestion and suggest alternative routes. The location tracking, McKinsey says, will work either from drivers’ mobile phones or GPS systems in cars. 

New Ways to Exploit Raw Data May Bring Surge of Innovation, a Study Says
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Spatial Big Data Definitions

• Spatial datasets exceeding capacity of current computing systems
  • To manage, process, or analyze the data with reasonable effort
  • Due to Volume, Velocity, Variety, …

• SBD History
  • Data-intensive Computing: Cloud Computing, Map-Reduce, Pregel
  • Middleware
  • Big-Data including data mining, machine learning, …
Traditional Spatial Data

• Spatial attribute:
  – Neighborhood and extent
  – Geo-Reference: longitude, latitude, elevation

• Spatial data genre
  – **Raster**: geo-images e.g., Google Earth
  – **Vector**: point, line, polygons
  – **Graph**, e.g., roadmap: node, edge, path
Military Is Awash in Data From Drones

adding **2,500 analysts** to help handle the growing volume of data.
With a new **$500 million computer system**

- Data Sets >> Google Earth
  - Geo-videos from UAVs, security cameras
  - Satellite Imagery (periodic scan), LiDAR, ...
  - Climate simulation outputs for **next century**

- Example use cases
  - Patterns of Life
  - Change detection, Feature extraction, Urban terrain

(Courtesy: Prof. V. Kumar)
Use Case: Patterns of Life

- Weekday GPS track for 3 months
  - Patterns of life
  - Usual places and visits
  - Rare places, Rare visits

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Morning 7am – 12am</th>
<th>Afternoon 12noon – 5pm</th>
<th>Evening 5pm – 12pm</th>
<th>Midnight 12midnight – 7pm</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Home</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Club</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Vector SBD from Geo-Social Media

- Vector data sub-genre
  - Point: location of a tweet, Ushahidi report, checkin, ...
  - Line-strings, Polygons: roads in openStreetMap
- Use cases: **Persistent Surveillance**
  - Outbreaks of disease, Disaster, Unrest, Crime, ...
  - Hot-spots, emerging hot-spots
  - Spatial Correlations: co-location, teleconnection
Persistent Surveillance at American Red Cross

• Even before cable news outlets began reporting the tornadoes that ripped through Texas on Tuesday, a map of the state began blinking red on a screen in the Red Cross' new social media monitoring center, alerting weather watchers that something was happening in the hard-hit area. (AP, April 16th, 2012)
Emerging SBD: Geo-Sensor Networks

- Geo-Sensor Network Examples
  - Urban roads: Loop detectors, Cameras
  - Electricity distribution grids, …
  - Environmental sensors for air quality, …
  - Robot with sensors, …
- Sensor Network sub-genre
  - Fixed reasonable resource: traffic sensors
  - Ad-hoc, resource poor: wireless sensor networks
- Use cases:
  - Monitor events, anomalies, e.g., accidents, Congestion, hotspots
  - Feed-back control, Predictive planning
  - Environmental Health
Graphs SBDs: Temporally Detailed

- Spatial Graphs, e.g., Roadmaps, Electric grid, Supply Chains, ...
  - Temporally detailed roadmaps [Navteq]
- Use cases: Best start time, Best route at different start-times
Emerging SBD: Mobile Device2Device

- Mobile Device
  - Cell-phones, cars, trucks, airplanes, …
  - RFID-tags, bar-codes, GPS-collars, …
- Trajectory & Measurements sub-genre
  - Receiver: GPS tracks, …
  - System: Cameras, RFID readers, …
- Use cases:
  - Tracking, Tracing,
    - Improve service, deter theft …
  - Geo-fencing, Identify nearby friends
  - Patterns of Life
  - Eco-routing
Emerging Use-Case: Eco-Routing

- Minimize fuel consumption and GPG emission
  - rather than proxies, e.g. distance, travel-time
  - avoid congestion, idling at red-lights, turns and elevation changes, etc.

The New York Times

**U.P.S. Embraces High-Tech Delivery Methods (July 12, 2007)**

By "The research at U.P.S. is paying off. ........— saving roughly three million gallons of fuel in good part by mapping routes that minimize left turns.”
Eco-Routing Questions

- What are expected fuel saving from use of GPS devices with static roadmaps?
- What is the value-added by historical traffic and congestion information?
- How much additional value is added by real-time traffic information?
- What are the impacts of following on fuel savings and green house emissions?
  - traffic management systems (e.g. traffic light timing policies),
  - vehicles (e.g. weight, engine size, energy-source),
  - driver behavior (e.g. gentle acceleration/braking), environment (e.g. weather)
- What is computational structure of the Eco-Routing problem?

Predictable Future

Non-stationary ranking of paths

Stationary ranking of alternate paths

Dijkstra’s, A*, Dynamic Programming….

New Computer Science?

Unpredictable Future
**Time Dependence of Shortest Path**

**INPUT:**

- **Source:** University of Minnesota
- **Destination:** MSP Airport
- **Time Interval** 7:00am --- 12:00noon

**OUTPUT:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Preferred Routes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7:30am</td>
<td>Vla Hlawatha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8:30am</td>
<td>Vla Hlawatha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9:30am</td>
<td>vla 35W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:30am</td>
<td>vla 35W</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Routing Algorithm Challenges

Non Stationarity ranking of paths

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Preferred Routes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7:30am</td>
<td>Via Hiawatha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8:30am</td>
<td>Via Hiawatha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9:30am</td>
<td>via 35W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:30am</td>
<td>via 35W</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Non FIFO Behavior

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Route</th>
<th>Flight Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8:30am</td>
<td>via Detroit</td>
<td>6 hrs 31 mins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9:10am</td>
<td>direct flight</td>
<td>2 hrs 51 mins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:00am</td>
<td>via Memphis</td>
<td>4 hrs 38 mins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:30am</td>
<td>via Atlanta</td>
<td>6 hrs 28 mins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2:30pm</td>
<td>direct flight</td>
<td>2 hrs 51 mins</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Flight schedule between Minneapolis and Austin (TX)

- Violation of stationary assumption dynamic programming
- Violates the no wait assumption of Dijkstra/A*
Question: What is the cost of Path \langle A, C, D \rangle with start-time \( t=2 \)?

- Is it 4 or 5?

Snapshots of a Graph

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Path</th>
<th>( T = 0 )</th>
<th>( T = 1 )</th>
<th>( T = 2 )</th>
<th>( T = 3 )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>\langle A, C, D \rangle</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\langle A, B, D \rangle</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Challenges: New Routing Questions

- **New Routing Questions**
  - Best start time to minimize time spend on network
  - Account for delays at signals, rush hour, etc.

- **Example Time-Variant Network Questions**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Static</th>
<th>Time-Variant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Which is the shortest travel time path from downtown Minneapolis to airport?</td>
<td>Which is the shortest travel time path from downtown Minneapolis to airport at different times of a work day?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>What is the capacity of Twin-Cities freeway network to evacuate downtown Minneapolis?</td>
<td>What is the capacity of Twin-Cities freeway network to evacuate downtown Minneapolis at different times in a work day?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SBD Challenge: Privacy vs. Utility Trade-off

- Checkin Risks: Stalking, GeoSlavery, …
- Ex: Girls Around me App (3/2012), Lacy Peterson [2008]
- Others know that you are not home!

The Girls of Girls Around Me. It's doubtful any of these girls even know they are being tracked. Their names and locations have been obscured for privacy reasons. (Source: Cult of Mac, March 30, 2012)
Relational to Spatial DBMS to SBD Management

• 1980s: Relational DBMS
  • Relational Algebra, B+Tree index
  • Query Processing, e.g. sort-merge equi-join algorithms, …
• Spatial customer (e.g. NASA, USPS) faced challenges
  • Semantic Gap
    • Verbose description for distance, direction, overlap
    • Shortest path is Transitive closure
  • Performance challenge due to linearity assumption
    • Are Sorting & B+ tree appropriate for geographic data?
• New ideas emerged in 1990s
  • Spatial data types and operations (e.g. OGIS Simple Features)
  • R-tree, Spatial-Join-Index, space partitioning, …

• SBD may require new thinking for
  • Temporally detailed roadmaps
  • Eco-routing queries
  • Privacy vs. Utility Trade-off
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Data Mining to Spatial Data Mining to SBD Analytics

• 1990s: Data Mining
  • Scale up traditional models (e.g., Regression) to large relational databases (460 Tbytes)
  • New pattern families: Associations: Which items are bought together? (Ex. Diaper, beer)

• Spatial customers
  • Walmart: Which items are bought just before/after events, e.g. hurricanes?
    • Where is a pattern (e.g., (diaper-beer) prevalent?
  • Global climate change: tele-connections

• But faced challenges
  • Independent Identical Distribution assumption not reasonable for spatial data
  • Transactions, i.e. disjoint partitioning of data, not natural for continuous space

• This led to Spatial Data Mining (last decade)
• SBD raise new questions
  • May SBD address open questions, e.g. estimate spatial neighborhood (e.g., W matrix)?
  • Does SBD facilitate better spatial models, e.g., place based ensembles beyond GWR?
  • (When) Does bigger spatial data lead to simpler models, e.g. database as a model?
• On-line Spatio-temporal Data Analytics
Spatial Data Mining Example 1: Spatial Prediction

- Nest locations
- Distance to open water
- Vegetation durability
- Water depth
Spatial Autocorrelation (SA)

- **First Law of Geography**
  - “All things are related, but nearby things are more related than distant things. [Tobler, 1970]”

- **Autocorrelation**
  - Traditional i.i.d. assumption is not valid
  - Measures: K-function, Moran’s I, Variogram, …

Pixel property with independent identical Distribution (i.i.d)  
Vegetation Durability with SA
Parameter Estimation for Spatial Auto-regression

$\rho$: the spatial auto-regression (auto-correlation) parameter

$W$: $n$-by-$n$ neighborhood matrix over spatial framework

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Model</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Classical Linear Regression</td>
<td>$y = x\beta + \varepsilon$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spatial Auto-Regression</td>
<td>$y = \rho Wy + x\beta + \varepsilon$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Maximum Likelihood Estimation**
  - Computationally Expensive
    - Determinant of a large matrix
  - Iterative Computation
    - Golden Section Search for $\rho$

\[
\ln(L) = \ln|I - \rho W| - \frac{n \ln(2\pi)}{2} - \frac{n \ln(\sigma^2)}{2} - SSE
\]
Spatial Data Mining Example 2: Clustering

- Clustering: Find groups of tuples
- Statistical Significance
  - Complete spatial randomness, cluster, and de-cluster

Inputs:
- Complete Spatial Random (CSR), Cluster, Decluster

Classical Clustering
(K-means always finds clusters)

Spatial Clustering begs to differ!
Leftmost: Clusters not significant
Rightmost – declustered!
Spatial Data Mining Example 3: Spatial Outliers

- Spatial Outliers
  - Locations different than neighbors
  - Ex. Sensor 9
  - Source: Traffic Data Cities

- Spatial Join Based Tests
Association Patterns

- Association rule e.g. (Diaper in T => Beer in T)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Transaction</th>
<th>Items Bought</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>{socks, milk, beef, egg, …}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>{pillow, toothbrush, ice-cream, muffin, …}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>{pacifier, formula, blanket, …}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>…</td>
<td>…</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>{battery, juice, beef, egg, chicken, …}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Support: probability (Diaper and Beer in T) = 2/5
- Confidence: probability (Beer in T | Diaper in T) = 2/2

- Algorithm Apriori [Agarwal, Srikant, VLDB94]
  - Support based pruning using monotonicity

- Note: **Transaction is a core concept!**
Spatial Data Mining Example 4: Co-locations/Co-occurrence

- Given: A collection of different types of spatial events
- Find: Co-located subsets of event types
- Challenge: No Transactions
- New Approaches
  - Spatial Join Based
  - One join per candidate is computationally expensive!

Answers: tree, fire, and bird
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SBD Opportunities 1: Estimate Spatial Neighbor Relationship

- **SDM Limitation 1:** Neighbor relationship is End-users’ burden!
  - Colocation mining, hotspot detection, spatial outlier detection, …
  - Example: W matrix in spatial auto-regression
  - Reason: W quadratic in number of location
  - Reliable estimation of W needs very large number data samples

- **SBD Opportunity 1:** Post-Markov Assumption
  - SBD may be large enough to provide reliable estimate of W
  - This will relieve user burden and may improve model accuracy
  - One may not have assume
    - Limited interaction length, e.g. Markov assumption
    - Spatially invariant neighbor relationships, e.g., 8-neighbor
    - Tele-connections are derived from short-distance relationships

## Name | Model
--- | ---
Classical Linear Regression | \( y = x\beta + \epsilon \)
Spatial Auto-Regression | \( y = \rho Wy + x\beta + \epsilon \)
SBD Opportunity 2: Place Based Ensemble of Models

- SDM Limitation 2: Modeling of Spatial Heterogeneity is rare
  - Spatial Heterogeneity: No two places on Earth are identical
  - Yet, Astro-Physics tradition focused on place-independent models
  - Was it due to paucity of data?
  - Exception: Geographically Weighted Regression or GWR [Fortheringham et al.]
  - GWR provides an ensemble of linear regression models, one per place of interest

- Opportunity 2: SBD may support Place based ensemble of models beyond GWR
  - Example: Place based ensemble of Decision Trees for Land-cover Classification
  - Example: Place based ensemble of Spatial Auto-Regression Models
  - Computational Challenge:
    - Naïve approach may run a learning algorithm for each place.
    - Is it possible to reduce computation cost by exploiting spatial auto-correlation?
SBD Opportunity 3: Bigger the SBD, Simpler the Model

- **SDM Limitation 3: Complexity of Spatial Models**
  - Spatial models are usually computationally more expensive than traditional models
  - Example: Spatial Auto-regression vs. Linear Regression
  - Example: Geographically Weighted Regression vs. Regression
  - Example: Colocation Pattern Mining vs. Association Rule Mining
  - Confidence Estimation adds more costs, e.g. M.C.M.C. simulations

- **SBD Opportunity 3: Bigger the SBD, Simpler the spatial models**
  - Sometime the complexity is due to paucity of data at individual places
    - It forces one to leverage data at nearby places via spatial auto-correlation and spatial join!
  - SBD may provide plenty of data at each place!
    - This may allow place-based divide and conquer
    - Build one model per place using local data and simpler model
  - **Challenges:**
    - Compare place-based ensembles of simpler models with current spatial models
    - When does bigger data lead to simpler models?
    - What is SBD from analytics perspective?
      - e.g., ratio of samples to number of parameters
SBD Opportunity 4: On-line Spatio-temporal Data Analytics

- SDM Limitation 4: Off-line Batch Processing
  - Spatial models are usually learned in off-line batch manner
    - Example: Spatial Auto-regression, Colocation Pattern Mining, Hotspot Detection
  - However, SBD include streaming data such as event reports, sensor measurements
  - SBD use cases include monitoring and surveillance requiring On-Line algorithms
    - Example: Timely Detection of Outbreak of disease, crime, unrest, adverse events
    - Example: Displacement or spread of a hotspot to neighboring geographies
    - Example: Abrupt or rapid change detection in land-cover, forest-fire, etc. for quick response

- SBD Opportunity 4: On-line Spatio-temporal Data Analytics
  - Purely local models may leverage time-series data analytics models
  - Regional and global models are harder
    - Spatial interactions (e.g., colocation, tele-connections) with time-lags
    - Q? Can these be computed exactly in on-line manner?
    - Q? If not, what are on-line approximations?
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Parallelizing Spatial Big Data on Cloud Computing

- **Case 1: Compute Spatial-Autocorrelation Simpler to Parallelize**
  - Map-reduce is okay
  - Should it provide spatial de-clustering services?
  - Can query-compiler generate map-reduce parallel code?

- **Case 2: Harder : Parallelize Range Query on Polygon Maps**
  - Need dynamic load balancing beyond map-reduce
  - MPI or OpenMP is better!

- **Case 3: Estimate Spatial Auto-Regression Parameters, Routing**
  - Map-reduce is inefficient for iterative computations due to expensive “reduce”!
  - MPI, OpenMP, Pregel or Spatial Hadoop is essential!
  - Ex. Golden section search, Determinant of large matrix
  - Ex. Eco-routing algorithms, Evacuation route planning
Simpler: Land-cover Classification

- Multiscale Multigranular Image Classification into land-cover categories

Inputs

Output at 2 Scales

\[ \hat{Model} \equiv \arg \max_{Model} \{ \text{quality}(Model) \}, \text{where} \]

\[ \text{quality}(M) = \text{likelihood (observation} \mid M) - 2 \text{penalty}(M) \]
Parallelization Choice

1. Initialize parameters and memory
2. for each Spatial Scale
3. for each Quad
4. for each Class
5. Calculate Quality Measure
6. end for Class
7. end for Quad
8. end for Spatial Scale
9. Post-processing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Input</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• 64 x 64 image</td>
<td>(Plymouth County, MA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• 4 classes</td>
<td>(All, Woodland, Vegetated, Suburban)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Language</td>
<td>UPC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platform</td>
<td>Cray X1, 1-8 processors</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Efficiency</th>
<th>Class-level (Quad-level)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of Processors</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Speedup</th>
<th>Class-level (Quad-level)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of Processors</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Harder: Parallelizing Vector GIS

• (1/30) second Response time constraint on Range Query
• Parallel processing necessary since best sequential computer cannot meet requirement
• Blue rectangle = a range query, Polygon colors shows processor assignment

Display → Graphics Engine → Local Terrain Database → Remote Terrain Databases

Set of Polygons

30 Hz. View Graphics

High Performance GIS Component

2Hz.
8Km X 8Km Bounding Box

25 Km X 25 Km Bounding Box

8Km X 8Km

30 Hz.
Data-Partitioning Approach

- Initial Static Partitioning
- Run-Time dynamic load-balancing (DLB)
- Platforms: Cray T3D (Distributed), SGI Challenge (Shared Memory)
DLB Pool-Size Choice is Challenging!
Hardest – Location Prediction

Nest locations

Distance to open water

Vegetation durability

Water depth
Ex. 3: Hardest to Parallelize

\[ \rho \] : the spatial auto-regression (auto-correlation) parameter

\[ W: n \times n \] neighborhood matrix over spatial framework

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Model</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Classical Linear Regression</td>
<td>( y = x\beta + \epsilon )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spatial Auto-Regression</td>
<td>( y = \rho Wy + x\beta + \epsilon )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Maximum Likelihood Estimation**
  \[
  \ln(L) = \ln |I - \rho W| - \frac{n \ln(2\pi)}{2} - \frac{n \ln(\sigma^2)}{2} - SSE
  \]

- Need cloud computing to scale up to large spatial dataset.
- However,
  - Map reduce is too slow for iterative computations!
  - computing determinant of large matrix is an open problem!
Parallelizing Spatial Big Data on Cloud Computing

• Case 1: Compute Spatial-Autocorrelation Simpler to Parallelize
  – Map-reduce is okay
  – Should it provide spatial de-clustering services?
  – Can query-compiler generate map-reduce parallel code?

• Case 2: Harder : Parallelize Range Query on Polygon Maps
  – Need dynamic load balancing beyond map-reduce
  – MPI or OpenMP is better!

• Case 3: Estimate Spatial Auto-Regression Parameters, Routing
  – Map-reduce is inefficient for iterative computations due to expensive “reduce”!
  – Ex. Golden section search, Determinant of large matrix
  – Ex. Eco-routing algorithms, Evacuation route planning
  – Option 1: Develop non-iterative formulations of spatial problems
  – Option 2: Alternative Platform: MPI, OpenMP, Pregel or Spatial Hadoop
Spatial Big Data (SBD)

• SBD Definitions
• SBD Applications
• SBD Analytics
• SBD Infrastructure
• Conclusions
Summary

• SBD are important to society
  – Ex. Eco-routing, Public Safety & Security, Understanding Climate Change
• SBD exceed capacity of current computing systems
• DBMS Challenges
  – Eco-Routing: Lagrangian frame, Non-Stationary Ranking
  – Privacy vs. Utility Trade-offs
• Data Analytics Opportunities
  – Post Markov Assumption – Estimate Neighbor Relationship from SBD
  – Place based Ensemble Models to address spatial heterogeneity
  – Bigger the spatial data, simpler may be the spatial models
  – Online Spatial Data Analytics
• Platform Challenges
  – Map-reduce – expensive reduce not suitable for iterative computations
  – Load balancing is harder for maps with polygons and line-strings
  – Spatial Hadoop?
From GPS and Virtual Globes to Spatial Computing-2020

About the workshop
This workshop outlines an effort to develop and promote a unified agenda for Spatial Computing research and development across US agencies, industries, and universities. See the original workshop proposal [here](#).

Spatial Computing
Spatial Computing is a set of ideas and technologies that will transform our lives by understanding the physical world, knowing and communicating our relation to places in that world, and navigating through those places.

The transformational potential of Spatial Computing is already evident. From Virtual Globes such as Google Maps and Microsoft Bing Maps to consumer GPS devices, our society has benefitted immensely from spatial technology. We’ve reached the point where a hiker in Yellowstone, a schoolgirl in DC, a biker in Minneapolis, and a taxi driver in Manhattan know precisely where they are, nearby points of interest, and how to reach their destinations. Large
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