

Appendix C. Strong Law of Large Numbers.

The strong law of large numbers is formulated (without proof) in Sec. 3.1, Theorem 3.2 of the textbook [D]. In this note, we give a complete proof of this fact. For further information, see [F], Ch.7.

Proposition 1 (Markov's Inequality). *Let $Y \geq 0$ be a random variable. Then*

$$P(Y \geq a) \leq \frac{E(Y)}{a} \quad \text{for any constant } a > 0. \quad (1)$$

Proof. For fixed $a = \text{const} > 0$, consider the event $A := \{\omega \in \Omega : X(\omega) \geq a > 0\}$ and its indicator

$$I_A(\omega) := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \omega \in A, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

Since $X \geq a I_A$, we have

$$E(X) \geq a \cdot E(I_A) = a \cdot P(A) = a \cdot P(X \geq a),$$

and (1) follows. □

Proposition 2 (Chebyshev's's Inequality). *Let X be a random variable with $\mu = E(X)$ and $\text{Var}(X) < \infty$. Then*

$$P(|X - \mu| \geq \varepsilon) \leq \frac{\text{Var}(X)}{\varepsilon^2} \quad \text{for any constant } \varepsilon > 0. \quad (3)$$

Proof. Set $Y := |X - \mu|^2$, $a := \varepsilon^2 > 0$. Then by Markov's inequality,

$$P(|X - \mu| \geq \varepsilon) = P(Y \geq a) \leq \frac{E(Y)}{a} = \frac{\text{Var}(X)}{\varepsilon^2}.$$

□

Theorem 3 (Weak Law of Large Numbers). *Let $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n, \dots$ be independent identically distributed (i.i.d.) random variables with $\mu = E(X)$ and $\text{Var}(X) < \infty$. Then the **sample mean***

$$\overline{X}_n := \frac{1}{n} (X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_n) \rightarrow \mu \quad \text{in probability as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

i.e. for any constant $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$P(|\overline{X}_n - \mu| \geq \varepsilon) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (4)$$

Proof. We have

$$E(\overline{X}_n) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n E(X_k) = \mu, \quad \text{Var}(\overline{X}_n) = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{k=1}^n \text{Var}(X_k) = \frac{\sigma^2}{n}.$$

Therefore, by Chebyshev's Inequality,

$$P(|\overline{X}_n - \mu| \geq \varepsilon) \leq \frac{\text{Var}(\overline{X}_n)}{\varepsilon^2} = \frac{\sigma^2}{n\varepsilon^2} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

□

Lemma 4 (Borel-Cantelli's Lemma). *Let A_1, A_2, \dots be a sequence of events such that $\sum P(A_n) < \infty$. Then with probability one only finitely many events A_n occur. In other words, the event*

$$A := \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n := \left(\bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{n=k}^{\infty} A_n \right) \quad \text{has probability} \quad P(A) = 0. \quad (5)$$

Proof. For every natural k ,

$$0 \leq P(A) \leq P\left(\bigcup_{n=k}^{\infty} A_n\right) \leq \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} P(A_n).$$

Since $P(A)$ does not depend on k , and the right hand side converges to 0 as $k \rightarrow \infty$, we must have $P(A) = 0$. \square

The Strong Law of Large Numbers (Theorem 7 below) together with two preparatory Theorems 5 and 6, are due to A.N. Kolmogorov.

Theorem 5 (Kolmogorov's Inequality). *Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n be independent random variables with $E(X_k) = 0$ and $\text{Var}(X_k) = \sigma_k^2$ for all $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Then $S_k := X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_k$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ satisfy*

$$P\left(\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} |S_k| \geq ta_n\right) \leq \frac{1}{t^2} \quad \text{for any constant } t > 0, \quad (6)$$

where $a_n^2 := \sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2 + \dots + \sigma_n^2 = \text{Var}(S_n) = E(S_n^2)$.

Proof. Introduce the stopping time

$$T := \min\{k \geq 1 : |S_k| \geq ta_n\} \quad \text{if} \quad \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} |S_k| \geq ta_n,$$

and $T = n$ otherwise. By Markov's inequality (1) with $Y := S_T^2$ and $a := t^2 a_n^2$,

$$P(|S_T| \geq ta_n) = P(|S_T^2| \geq t^2 a_n^2) \leq \frac{E(S_T^2)}{t^2 a_n^2} \quad (7)$$

We can write

$$E(S_T^2) = \sum_{k=1}^n E(I_k S_k^2) \quad \text{where} \quad I_k := I_{\{T \geq k\}}. \quad (8)$$

Further

$$S_n^2 = [S_k + (S_n - S_k)]^2 = S_k^2 + 2S_k(S_n - S_k) + (S_n - S_k)^2. \quad (9)$$

Since $I_k S_k$ and $S_n - S_k = X_{k+1} + \dots + X_n$ are independent and $E(S_n - S_k) = 0$, we obtain

$$E(I_k S_k (S_n - S_k)) = E(I_k S_k) \cdot E(S_n - S_k) = 0 \quad \text{for all } k.$$

Then from (9) it follows $E(I_k S_n^2) \geq E(I_k S_k^2)$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Together with (8), these imply

$$E(S_T^2) \leq \sum_{k=1}^n E(I_k S_n^2) = E(S_n^2) = a_n^2,$$

and the desired inequality (6) follows from (7) by definition of T . \square

Theorem 6 (Kolmogorov's Test). *Let $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n, \dots$ be independent random variables with $E(X_k) = 0$ and $\text{Var}(X_k) = \sigma_k^2$ for all $k = 1, 2, \dots, n, \dots$, such that $\sum k^{-2}\sigma_k^2 < \infty$. Then*

$$\frac{S_n}{n} := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n X_k \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{almost surely (a.s.),} \quad \text{i.e.} \quad P\left(\frac{S_n}{n} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as} \quad n \rightarrow \infty\right) = 1. \quad (10)$$

Proof. Fix an arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$. For $m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, consider the events

$$A_m := \left\{ \max_{2^{m-1} < k \leq 2^m} \frac{|S_k|}{k} \geq \varepsilon \right\} \subset B_m := \left\{ \max_{1 \leq k \leq 2^m} |S_k| \geq 2^{m-1}\varepsilon \right\}.$$

We can apply Theorem 5 with $n = 2^m$ and $t = 2^{m-1}\varepsilon a_n^{-1}$. This gives us

$$P(A_m) \leq P(B_m) \leq \frac{1}{t^2} = \frac{4a_n^2}{\varepsilon^2 2^{2m}},$$

which in turn implies

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} P(A_m) \leq \frac{4}{\varepsilon^2} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{4^m} \sum_{k=1}^{2^m} \sigma_k^2.$$

The right hand side can be considered as the double sum over all the integers $m \geq 0$ and $k \geq 1$ satisfying $1 \leq k \leq 2^m$. Changing the order of summation, we write

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} P(A_m) \leq \frac{4}{\varepsilon^2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sigma_k^2 \sum_{m=m_0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{4^m},$$

where $m_0 = m_0(k)$ is the minimal integer m satisfying $k \leq 2^m$, so that $k \leq 2^{m_0} < 2k$. The sum of the geometric series

$$\sum_{m=m_0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{4^m} = \frac{4}{3 \cdot 4^{m_0}} \leq \frac{4}{3k^2}.$$

Hence

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} P(A_m) \leq \frac{16}{3\varepsilon^2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sigma_k^2}{k^2} < \infty.$$

By Lemma 4, with probability one only finite number of A_m occurs, which means that

$$P\left(\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|S_n|}{n} \leq \varepsilon\right) = 1.$$

Since $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, the desired property (10) follows. □

Theorem 7 (Strong Law of Large Numbers). *Let $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n, \dots$ be i.i.d. random variables with $E(|X|) < \infty$ and $\mu = E(X)$. Then*

$$\overline{X}_n := \frac{1}{n} (X_1 + \dots + X_n) \rightarrow \mu \quad \text{a.s.} \quad \text{as} \quad n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (11)$$

Proof. Replacing X_k by $X_k - \mu$ and \overline{X}_n by $\overline{X}_n - \mu$, we reduce the proof to the case $\mu = 0$. For $k = 1, 2, \dots$, represent X_k in the form

$$X_k = U_k + V_k, \quad \text{where} \quad U_k := I_{\{|X_k| < k\}} \cdot X_k, \quad V_k := I_{\{|X_k| \geq k\}} \cdot X_k. \quad (12)$$

Denote $\mu_k := E(U_k)$. Since $E(X_k) = 0$, we have $E(V_k) = E(X_k - U_k) = -\mu_k$, and

$$\left| \sum_{k=1}^n \mu_k \right| = \left| \sum_{k=1}^n E(V_k) \right| \leq \sum_{k=1}^n E(|V_k|) = \sum_{k=1}^n E\left(I_{\{|X_k| \geq k\}} \cdot |X_k|\right).$$

Here distributions of X_k do not depend on k , so that the last expression can be rewritten as

$$\sum_{k=1}^n E\left(I_{\{|X| \geq k\}} \cdot |X|\right) = E\left(\sum_{k=1}^n I_{\{|X| \geq k\}} \cdot |X|\right) \leq E(\min\{n, |X|\} \cdot |X|).$$

By the Monotone Convergence Theorem in Real Analysis,

$$\left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \mu_k \right| \leq \frac{1}{n} \cdot E(\min\{n, |X|\} \cdot |X|) = E\left(\min\left\{1, \frac{|X|}{n}\right\} \cdot |X|\right) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (13)$$

Further, introduce the quantities

$$c_j := E\left(I_{\{j-1 \leq |X| < j\}} \cdot |X|\right) \quad \text{for } j = 1, 2, \dots$$

Then

$$\sigma_k^2 := \text{Var}(U_k) \leq E(U_k^2) = E\left(I_{\{|X| < k\}} \cdot |X|^2\right) = \sum_{j=1}^k E\left(I_{\{j-1 \leq |X| < j\}} \cdot |X|^2\right) \leq \sum_{j=1}^k j c_j.$$

Note that

$$\sum_{k=j}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^2} \leq \sum_{k=j}^{\infty} \frac{2}{k(k+1)} = 2 \cdot \sum_{k=j}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{k} - \frac{1}{k+1}\right) = \frac{2}{j} \quad \text{for } j = 1, 2, \dots$$

Therefore,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sigma_k^2}{k^2} \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^2} \sum_{j=1}^k j c_j = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq k} \frac{j c_j}{k^2} = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j c_j \sum_{k=j}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^2} \leq 2 \cdot \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} c_j = 2 \cdot E(|X|) < \infty.$$

By Theorem 6 applied to $U_k - \mu_k$ instead of X_k , we get

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n (U_k - \mu_k) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{a.s. as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (14)$$

In addition, we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P(|X_k| \geq k) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} E\left(I_{\{|X| \geq k\}}\right) = E\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} I_{\{|X| \geq k\}}\right) \leq E(|X|) < \infty.$$

By the Borel-Cantelli Lemma (Lemma 4), only finitely many events $\{|X_k| \geq k\}$ occur (a.s.). This means that in (12) $X_k = U_k$ except for finitely many indices k . In combination with (13) and (14), this implies the desired property (11):

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n X_k = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n U_k = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n (U_k - \mu_k) = 0 \quad (\text{a.s.}) \quad .$$

Theorem is proved. □

References

- [D] Richard Durrett, *Essentials of Stochastic Processes*, 2nd Edition, Springer, 2012.
- [F] William Feller, *An Introduction to Probability Theory and its Applications*, Vol. 2, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 1971.