BACKGROUND ON GRAPHS

Graphs – definitions & representations

Graph theory is a fundamental tool in many areas

Definition. A graph *G* is defined as a pair of sets G = (V, E) with $E \subset V \times V$. So *G* represents a binary relation. The graph is undirected if the binary relation is symmetric. It is directed otherwise.

- \blacktriangleright V is the vertex set and E is the edge set
- > A binary relation R in V can be represented by graph G = (V, E) where:

 $(u,v)\in E \leftrightarrow u \; R \; v$

Undirected graph \leftrightarrow symmetric relation



Given the numbers 5, 3, 9, 15, 16, show the two graphs representing the relations

- *R1*: Either x < y or y divides x.
- *R2*: x and y are congruent modulo 3. [mod(x,3) = mod(y,3)]
- ► $|E| \leq |V|^2$. For undirected graphs: $|E| \leq |V|(|V|+1)/2$.
- > A sparse graph is one for which $|E| \ll |V|^2$.

▶ If $(u, v) \in E$, then v is adjacent to u. The edge (u, v) is incident to u and v.

 \blacktriangleright If the graph is directed, then (u,v) is an outgoing edge from u and incoming edge to v

> $Adj(i) = \{j | j \text{ adjacent to } i\}$

> The degree of a vertex v is the number of edges incident to v. Can also define the indegree and outdegree. (Sometimes self-edge $i \rightarrow i$ omitted)

> |S| is the cardinality of set S [so |Adj(i)| == deg(i)]

► A subgraph G' = (V', E') of G is a graph with $V' \subset V$ and $E' \subset E$.

> A graph is nothing but a collection of vertices (indices from 1 to n), each with a set of its adjacent vertices [in effect a 'sparse matrix without values']

➤ For sparse graphs: use any of the sparse matrix storage formats - omit the real values arrays.

Adjacency matrixAssumeV = $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Then the adjacency matrixof G = (V, E) is the $n \times n$ matrix, withentries:

$$a_{i,j} = egin{cases} 1 & ext{if} \; (i,j) \in E \ 0 & ext{Otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Representations of Graphs (cont.)





► Given $Y \subset X$, the section graph of Y is the subgraph $G_Y = (Y, E(Y))$ where $E(Y) = \{(x, y) \in E | x \in Y, y \text{ in } Y\}$

> A section graph is a clique if all the nodes in the subgraph are pairwise adjacent (\rightarrow dense block in matrix)

A path is a sequence of vertices w_0, w_1, \ldots, w_k such that $(w_i, w_{i+1}) \in E$ for $i = 0, \ldots, k - 1$.

> The length of the path w_0, w_1, \ldots, w_k is k (# of edges in the path)

> A cycle is a closed path, i.e., a path with $w_k = w_0$.

> A graph is acyclic if it has no cycles.



A path w_0, \ldots, w_k is simple if the vertices w_0, \ldots, w_k are distinct (except that we may have $w_0 = w_k$ for cycles).

► An undirected graph is connected if there is path from every vertex to every other vertex.

A digraph with the same property is said to be strongly connected

The undirected (or symmetrized) form of a digraph = undirected graph obtained by removing the directions of all edges

A <u>directed</u> graph whose undirected form is connected is said to be weakly connected or connected.

Tree = a graph whose undirected form, i.e., symmetrized form, is acyclic & connected – Forest = a collection of trees **GRAPH MODELS FOR SPARSE MATRICES**

Graph Representations of Sparse Matrices. Recall:

Adjacency Graph G = (V, E) of an $n \times n$ matrix A:

$$V = \{1, 2, ..., N\}$$
 $E = \{(i, j) | a_{ij}
eq 0\}$

 \blacktriangleright G == undirected if A has a symmetric pattern



Show the matrix pattern for the graph on the right and give an interpretation of the path v_4, v_2, v_3, v_5, v_1 on the matrix



> A separator is a set Y of vertices such that the graph G_{X-Y} is disconnected.

Example:
$$Y = \{v_3, v_4, v_5\}$$
 is a separator in the above figure

Example: Adjacency graph of:



Example: For any adjacency matrix A, what is the graph of A^2 ? [interpret in terms of paths in the graph of A]

► Two graphs are isomorphic is there is a mapping between the vertices of the two graphs that preserves adjacency.

Are the following 3 graphs isomorphic? If yes find the mappings between them.



- Graphs are identical labels are different
- Determinig graph isomorphism is a hard problem

Bipartite graph representation

- Rows and columns are (both) represented by vertices;
- Relations only between rows and columns: Row *i* is connected to column *j* if $a_{ij} \neq 0$



Bipartite models used only for specific cases [e.g. rectangular matrices,

...] - By default we use the standard definition of graphs.

Interpretation of graphs of matrices

- Mhat is the graph of A + B (for two $n \times n$ matrices)?
- \checkmark What is the graph of A^T ?
- \checkmark What is the graph of A.B?

Mhat is the graph of A^k ?

Theorem Let A be the adjacency matrix of a graph G = (V, E). Then for $k \ge 0$ and vertices u and v of G, the number of paths of length k starting at u and ending at v is equal to $(A^k)_{u,v}$.

Proof: Proof is by induction.



If C = BA then $c_{ij} = \sum_l b_{il} a_{lj}$. Take $B = A^{k-1}$ and use induction. Any path of length kis formed as a path of length k-1 to some node l completed by an edge from l to j. Because a_{lj} is one for that last edge, c_{ij} is just the sum of all possible paths of length k from i to j Recall (definition): A matrix is *reducible* if it can be permuted into a block upper triangular matrix.

► Note: A matrix is reducible iff its adjacency graph is not (strongly) connected, i.e., iff it has more than one connected component.



No edges from C to A or B. No edges from B to A.

Theorem: Perron-FrobeniusAn irreducible, nonnegative $n \times n$ matrix Ahas a real, positive eigenvalue λ_1 such that:(i) λ_1 is a simple eigenvalue of A;(ii) λ_1 admits a positive eigenvector u_1 ; and(iii) $|\lambda_i| \leq \lambda_1$ for all other eigenvalues λ_i where i > 1.

> The spectral radius is equal to the eigenvalue λ_1

 \blacktriangleright Definition : a graph is d regular if each vertex has the same degree d.

Proposition: The spectral radius of a d regular graph is equal to d.

Proof: The vector e of all ones is an eigenvector of A associated with the eigenvalue $\lambda = d$. In addition this eigenvalue is the largest possible (consider the infinity norm of A). Therefore e is the Perron-Frobenius vector u_1 .

Application: Markov Chains

- Read about Markov Chains in Sect. 10.9 of: https://www-users.cs.umn.edu/~saad/eig_book_2ndEd.pdf
- ► Let $\pi \equiv$ row vector of stationary probabilities
- > Then π satisfies the equation

$$\pi P = \pi$$

P is the probability transition matrix and it is 'stochastic':

```
A matrix P is said to be stochastic if :

(i) p_{ij} \ge 0 for all i, j

(ii) \sum_{j=1}^{n} p_{ij} = 1 for i = 1, \dots, n

(iii) No column of P is a zero column.
```

> Spectral radius is ≤ 1

🙇 Why?

> Assume *P* is irreducible. Then:

> Perron Frobenius $\rightarrow \rho(P) = 1$ is an eigenvalue and associated eigenvector has positive entries.

- > Probabilities are obtained by scaling π by its sum.
- Example: One of the 2 models used for page rank.

Example: A college Fraternity has 50 students at various stages of college (Freshman, Sophomore, Junior, Senior). There are 6 potential stages for the following year: Freshman, Sophomore, Junior, Senior, graduated, or left-without degree. Following table gives probability of transitions from one stage to next

To From	Fr	So.	Ju.	Sr.	Grad	lwd
Fr.	.2	0	0	0	0	0
So.	.6	.1	0	0	0	0
Ju.	0	.7	.1	0	0	0
Sr.	0	0	.8	.1	0	0
Grad	0	0	0	.75	1	0
lwd	.2	.2	.1	.15	0	1

What is *P*? Assume initial population is $x_0 = [10, 16, 12, 12, 0, 0]$ and do a follow the population for a few years. What is the probability that a student will graduate? What is the probability that s/he leaves without a degree?

A few words on hypergraphs

- Hypergraphs are very general.. Ideas borrowed from VLSI work
- Main motivation: to better represent communication volumes when partitioning a graph. Standard models face many limitations
- Hypergraphs can better express complex graph partitioning problems and provide better solutions.
- Example: completely nonsymmetric patterns ...
- Leven rectangular matrices. Best illustration: Hypergraphs are ideal for text data

Example:
$$V = \{1, \dots, 9\}$$
 and $E = \{a, \dots, e\}$ with $a = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}, b = \{3, 5, 6, 7\}, c = \{4, 7, 8, 9\}, d = \{6, 7, 8\}, and $e = \{2, 9\}$$



A few words on computational graphs

Computational graphs: graphs where nodes represent computations whose evaluation depend on other (incoming) nodes.



Consider the following expression:

$$g(x,y)=(x+y-2)\ast(y+1)$$

We can decompose this as

$$\left\{egin{array}{ll} z\,=\,x+y\ v\,=\,y+1\ g\,=\,(z-2)*v \end{array}
ight.$$

1

➤ Computational graph →
➤ Given x, y we want:
(a) Evaluate the nodes and
(b) derivatives w.r.t x, y



(a) is trivial - just follow the graph up - starting from the leaves (that contain x and y)

(b): Use the chain rule – here shown for x only using previous setting

$$\frac{\partial g}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial g}{\partial a} \frac{da}{dx} + \frac{\partial g}{\partial b} \frac{db}{dx}$$

For the above example compute values and derivatives at all nodes when x = -1, y = 2.

Back-Propagation

Often we want to compute the gradient of the function at the root, once the nodes have been evaluated

- The derivatives can be calculated by going backward (or down the tree)
- Here is a very simple example from Neural Networks



> Note that t (desired output) and x (input) are constant.

Back-Propagation: General computational graphs



 \blacktriangleright Last node (v_n) is the target function. Let us rename it f.

- > Nodes $v_i, i = 1, \cdots, e$ with indegree 0 are the variables
- $\blacktriangleright \quad \text{Want to compute } \partial f/\partial v_1, \partial f/\partial v_2, \cdots, \partial f/\partial v_e$
- ► Use the chain rule. For $v_k(v_j, v_l, v_m) \longrightarrow$

$$rac{\partial f}{\partial v_k} = rac{\partial f}{\partial v_j} rac{\partial v_j}{\partial v_k} + rac{\partial f}{\partial v_l} rac{\partial v_l}{\partial v_k} + rac{\partial f}{\partial v_m} rac{\partial v_m}{\partial v_k}$$

► Let $\delta_k = \frac{\partial f}{\partial v_k}$ (called 'errors'). Then

$$\delta_k = \delta_j rac{\partial v_j}{\partial v_k} + \delta_l rac{\partial v_l}{\partial v_k} + \delta_m rac{\partial v_m}{\partial v_k} \; ,$$

To compute the δ_k's once the v_j's have been computed (in a 'forward' propagation) – proceed backward.
 δ_i, δ_l, δ_m available and ∂v_i/∂v_k com-

putable. Nore $\delta_n \equiv 1$.



However: cannot just do this in any order. Must follow a topological order in order to obey dependencies.



GRAPH CENTRALITY

Centrality in graphs

- Goal: measure importance of a node, edge, subgraph, .. in a graph
- Many measures introduced over the years
- Early Work: Freeman '77 [introduced 3 measures] based on 'paths in graph'
- Many different ways of defininf centrality! We will just see a few

Degree centrality:(simplest) 'Nodeswith high degree are important'(note: scaling n - 1 is unimportant)

Closeness centrality: 'Nodes that are close to many other nodes are important'

$$C_D(v) = rac{\deg(v)}{n-1}$$

$$C_C(v) = rac{n-1}{\sum_{w
eq v} d(v,w)}$$

$$C_B(v) = \sum_{u
eq v, w
eq v} rac{\sigma_{uw}(v)}{\sigma_{uw}}$$

- σ_{uw} = total # shortest paths from u to w
- $\sigma_{uw}(v)$ = total # shortest paths from u to w passing through v
- Nodes that are on many shortest paths are important'

Example: Find $C_D(v)$; $C_C(v)$; $C_B(v)$ when v = C



(u,w)	$\sigma_{uw}(v)$	σ_{uw}	/	(u,w)	$\sigma_{uw}(v)$	σ_{uw}	/
(A,B)	0	1	0	(B,E)	0	1	0
(A,D)	0	1	0	(B,F)	1	1	1
(A,E)	0	1	0	(D,E)	1	2	.5
(A,F)	0	1	0	(D,F)	1	1	1
(B,D)	0	1	0	(E,F)	0	1	0

> $C_D(v) = 3/5 = 0.6$;

 $\blacktriangleright C_C(v) = 5/[d_{CA} + d_{CB} + d_{CD} + d_{CE} + d_{CF}] = 5/[2+1+1+2+1] = 5/7$

 \succ $C_B(v) = 2.5$ (add all ratios in table)

A Redo this for v = B

Eigenvector centrality:

Suppose we have n nodes v_j , $j = 1, \dots, n$ - each with a measure of importance ('prestige') p_j

- > Principle: prestige of i depends on that of its neighbors.
- > Prestige x_i = multiple of sum of prestiges of neighbors pointing to it

$$\lambda x_i = \sum_{j \ \in \ \mathcal{N}(i)} x_j = \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ji} x_j$$

- > x_i = component of eigenvector associated with λ .
- > Perron Frobenius theorem at play again: take largest eigenvalue $\rightarrow x_i$'s nonnegative

Can be viewed as a variant of Eigenvector centrality

Main point: A page is important if it is pointed to by other important pages.

Importance of your page (its PageRank) is determined by summing the page ranks of all pages which point to it. [\rightarrow same as EV centrality]

Weighting: If a page points to several other pages, then the weighting should be distributed proportionally.

Imagine many tokens doing a random walk on this graph:

- (δ/n) chance to follow one of the n links on a page,
- (1δ) chance to jump to a random page.
- What's the chance a token will land on each page?

Page-Rank - definitions

If $T_1, ..., T_n$ point to page T_i then

$$ho(T_i) \;=\; 1 - \delta + \delta \left[rac{
ho(T_1)}{|T_1|} + rac{
ho(T_2)}{|T_2|} + \cdots rac{
ho(T_n)}{|T_n|}
ight]$$

► $|T_j|$ = count of links going out of Page T_i . So the 'vote' $\rho(T_j)$ is spread evenly among $|T_j|$ links.

- Sum of all PageRanks == 1: $\Sigma_T \rho(T) = 1$
- > δ is a 'damping' parameter close to 1 e.g. 0.85
- Defines a (possibly huge) Hyperlink matrix H $h_{ij} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{|T_i|} & \text{if } i \text{ points to } j \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$



- A points to B and D
- B points to A, C, and D
- C points to A and B
- D points to C
- 1) What is the H matrix?
- 2) the graph?





- > Row- sums of H are = 1.
- Sum of all PageRanks will be one:

 $\sum_{\mathsf{AII-Pages}_A}
ho(A) = 1.$

H is a stochastic matrix [actually it is forced to be by changing zero rows]

Algorithm (PageRank)

- 1. Select initial row vector $v \ (v \ge 0)$
- 2. For i=1:maxitr
- 3 $v := (1 \delta)e^T + \delta v H$

4. end

Do a few steps of this algorithm for previous example with $\delta = 0.85$.



A few properties:

- $\succ v$ will remain ≥ 0 . [combines non-negative vectors]
- More general iteration is of the form

$$v := v[\underbrace{(1-\delta)E + \delta H}_{G}]$$
 with $E = ez^T$

where z is a probability vector $e^T z = 1$ [Ex. $z = \frac{1}{n}e$]

A variant of the power method.

> *e* is a right-eigenvector of *G* associated with $\lambda = 1$. We are interested in the left eigenvector.

Idea is to put order into the web by ranking pages by their degree of Authority or "Hubness".

- An Authority is a page pointed to by many important pages.
- Authority Weight = sum of Hub Weights from In-Links.
- > A Hub is a page that points to many important pages:
- Hub Weight = sum of Authority Weights from Out-Links.

Source:

http://www.cs.cornell.edu/home/kleinber/auth.pdf

Computation of Hubs and Authorities

- Simplify computation by forcing sum of squares of weights to be 1.
- ► Auth_j = $x_j = \sum_{i:(i,j) \in \text{Edges}} \text{Hub}_i$.
- ► $\operatorname{Hub}_i = y_i = \sum_{j:(i,j) \in \operatorname{Edges}} \operatorname{Auth}_j$.
- ► Let A = Adjacency matrix: $a_{ij} = 1$ if $(i, j) \in \text{Edges}$.
- $\succ \mathbf{y} = A\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} = A^T\mathbf{y}.$
- > Iterate ... to leading eigenvectors of $A^T A \& A A^T$.
- Answer: Leading Singular Vectors!

GRAPH LAPLACEANS AND THEIR APPLICATIONS

"Laplace-type" matrices associated with general undirected graphs – useful in many applications

- \blacktriangleright Given a graph G = (V, E) define
 - A matrix W of weights w_{ij} for each edge
- Assume $w_{ij} \geq 0,, w_{ii} = 0,$ and $w_{ij} = w_{ji} \ orall (i,j)$
- The diagonal matrix $D = diag(d_i)$ with $d_i = \sum_{j \neq i} w_{ij}$

Corresponding graph Laplacean of G is:

L = D - W

> Gershgorin's theorem $\rightarrow L$ is positive semidefinite.



5

$$w_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 \text{ if } (i,j) \in E\&i \neq j \\ 0 \text{ else} \end{cases} D = \text{diag} \begin{bmatrix} d_i = \sum_{j \neq i} w_{ij} \\ d_i = \sum_{j \neq i} w_{ij} \end{bmatrix}$$
Example:
Consider the graph
L =
$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 2 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

• 3

Ark. 47th Spring Lect., May 4-6, 2022

4

Define the graph Laplacean for the graph associated with the simple mesh shown next. [use the simple weights of 0 or 1]. What is the difference with the discretization of the Laplace operator for case when mesh is the same as this graph?



Proposition:

(i) *L* is symmetric semi-positive definite. (ii) *L* is singular with 1 as a null vector. (iii) If *G* is connected, then $Null(L) = span\{1\}$ (iv) If *G* has k > 1 connected components G_1, G_2, \dots, G_k , then the nullity of *L* is *k* and Null(L) is spanned by the vectors $z^{(j)}, j = 1, \dots, k$ defined by:

 $(z^{(j)})_i = egin{cases} 1 ext{ if } i \ \in G_j \ 0 ext{ if not.} \end{cases}$

Proof: (i) and (ii) seen earlier and are trivial. (iii) Clearly u = 1 is a null vector for *L*. The vector $D^{-1/2}u$ is an eigenvector for the matrix $D^{-1/2}LD^{-1/2} = I - D^{-1/2}WD^{-1/2}$ associated with the smallest eigenvalue. It is also an eigenvector for $D^{-1/2}WD^{-1/2}$ associated with the largest eigenvalue. By the Perron Frobenius theorem this is a simple eigenvalue... (iv) Can be proved from the fact that *L* can be written as a direct sum of the Laplacian matrices for G_1, \dots, G_k .

A few properties of graph Laplaceans

Define: oriented incidence matrix H: (1)First orient the edges $i \sim j$ into $i \rightarrow j$ or $j \rightarrow i$. (2) Rows of H indexed by vertices of G. Columns indexed by edges. (3) For each (i, j) in E, define the corresponding column in H as $\sqrt{w(i, j)}(e_i - e_j)$.

Example: In previous example (4 p. back) orient $i \rightarrow j$ so that j > i [lower triangular matrix representation]. Then matrix H is:

$$H = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & -1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Property 1 $L = HH^T$

Re-prove part (iv) of previous proposition by using this property.

A few properties of graph Laplaceans



Strong relation between $x^T L x$ and local distances between entries of xLet L = any matrix s.t. L = D - W, with $D = diag(d_i)$ and

$$w_{ij} \geq 0, \qquad d_i \; = \; \sum_{j
eq i} w_{ij}$$

Property 2: for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$:

$$x^ op L x = rac{1}{2}\sum_{i,j} w_{ij} |x_i-x_j|^2$$

Property 3: (generalization) for any $Y \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times n}$:

$$ext{Tr}\left[oldsymbol{Y} L oldsymbol{Y}^ op
ight] = rac{1}{2}\sum_{i,j} w_{ij} \|oldsymbol{y}_i - oldsymbol{y}_j\|^2$$

Note: $y_j = j$ -th column of Y. Usually d < n. Each column can represent a data sample.

Property 4:For the particular $L = I - \frac{1}{n} \ \mathbb{1} \ \mathbb{1}^\top$ $XLX^\top = \bar{X}\bar{X}^\top = n \times ext{Covariance matrix}$

Property 5: L is singular and admits the null vector
1 = ones(n, 1)

Property 6: (Graph partitioning) Consider situation when $w_{ij} \in \{0, 1\}$. If x is a vector of signs (± 1) then

 $x^ op Lx = 4 imes$ ('number of edge cuts')

edge-cut = pair (i, j) with $x_i \neq x_j$

Consequence: Can be used to partition graphs



▶ Would like to minimize (Lx, x) subject to $x \in \{-1, 1\}^n$ and $e^T x = 0$ [balanced sets]

Wll solve a relaxed form of this problem

Multiply What if we replace x by a vector of ones (representing one partition) and zeros (representing the other)?

Let x be any vector and $y = x + \alpha$ 1 and L a graph Laplacean. Compare (Lx, x) with (Ly, y).

 $\blacktriangleright \quad \text{Consider any symmetric (real) matrix } A \text{ with eigenvalues } \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \cdots \leq \lambda_n \text{ and eigenvectors } u_1, \cdots, u_n$

Recall that:
 (Min reached for $x = u_1$)

$$\min_{x\in \mathbb{R}^n}rac{(Ax,x)}{(x,x)}=\lambda_1$$

In addition: (Min reached for $x = u_2$)

$$\min_{x\perp u_1}rac{(Ax,x)}{(x,x)}=\lambda_2$$

For a graph Laplacean $u_1 = 1 = \text{vector of all ones and}$

> ...vector u_2 is called the Fiedler vector. It solves a relaxed form of the problem -

$$\min_{\substack{x \in \{-1,1\}^n; \ \mathbb{I}^T x = 0}} \frac{(Lx,x)}{(x,x)} \rightarrow \qquad \min_{\substack{x \in \mathbb{R}^n; \ \mathbb{I}^T x = 0}} \frac{(Lx,x)}{(x,x)}$$

▶ Define $v = u_2$ then lab = sign(v - med(v))

Recursive Spectral Bisection

- 1 Form graph Laplacean
- 2 Partition graph in 2 based onFielder vector
- **3** Partition largest subgraph in two recursively ...
- **4** ... Until the desired number of partitions is reached



Three approaches to graph partitioning:

- 1. Spectral methods Just seen + add Recursive Spectral Bisection.
- 2. Geometric techniques. Coordinates are required. [Houstis & Rice et al., Miller, Vavasis, Teng et al.]
- 3. Graph Theory techniques multilevel,... [use graph, but no coordinates]
 - Currently best known technique is Metis (multi-level algorithm)
 - Simplest idea: Recursive Graph Bisection; Nested dissection (George & Liu, 1980; Liu 1992]
 - Advantages: simplicity no coordinates required

Example of a graph theory approach

Level Set Expansion Algorithm

> Given: p nodes 'uniformly' spread in the graph (roughly same distance from one another).

Method: Perform a level-set traversal (BFS) from each node simultaneously.

> Best described for an example on a 15 \times 15 five – point Finite Difference grid.

See [Goehring-YS '94, See Cai-YS '95]

Approach also known under the name 'bubble' algorithm and implemented in some packages [Party, DibaP]

