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## Data Mining <br> Association Analysis: Advanced Concepts

Extensions of Association Analysis to Continuous and Categorical Attributes and Multi-level Rules

## Continuous and Categorical Attributes

How to apply association analysis to non-asymmetric binary variables?

| Gender | $\cdots$ | Age | Annual <br> Income | No of hours spent <br> online per week | No of email <br> accounts | Privacy <br> Concern |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Female | $\cdots$ | 26 | 90 K | 20 | 4 | Yes |
| Male | $\cdots$ | 51 | 135 K | 10 | 2 | No |
| Male | $\cdots$ | 29 | 80 K | 10 | 3 | Yes |
| Female | $\cdots$ | 45 | 120 K | 15 | 3 | Yes |
| Female | $\cdots$ | 31 | 95 K | 20 | 5 | Yes |
| Male | $\cdots$ | 25 | 55 K | 25 | 5 | Yes |
| Male | $\cdots$ | 37 | 100 K | 10 | 1 | No |
| Male | $\cdots$ | 41 | 65 K | 8 | 2 | No |
| Female | $\cdots$ | 26 | 85 K | 12 | 1 | No |
| $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ |

Example of Association Rule:
$\{$ Gender=Male, Age $\in[21,30)\} \rightarrow\{$ No of hours online $\geq 10\}$

## Handling Categorical Attributes

- Example: Internet Usage Data

| Gender | Level of <br> Education | State | Computer <br> at Home | Online <br> Auction | Chat <br> Online | Online <br> Banking | Privacy <br> Concerns |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Female | Graduate | Illinois | Yes | Yes | Daily | Yes | Yes |
| Male | College | California | No | No | Never | No | No |
| Male | Graduate | Michigan | Yes | Yes | Monthly | Yes | Yes |
| Female | College | Virginia | No | Yes | Never | Yes | Yes |
| Female | Graduate | California | Yes | No | Never | No | Yes |
| Male | College | Minnesota | Yes | Yes | Weekly | Yes | Yes |
| Male | College | Alaska | Yes | Yes | Daily | Yes | No |
| Male | High School | Oregon | Yes | No | Never | No | No |
| Female | Graduate | Texas | No | No | Monthly | No | No |
| $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ |

\{Level of Education=Graduate, Online Banking=Yes\} $\rightarrow$ \{Privacy Concerns $=$ Yes $\}$

## Handling Categorical Attributes

- Introduce a new "item" for each distinct attributevalue pair

| Male | Female | Education <br> $=$ Graduate | Education <br> = College | Education <br> $=$ High School | $\cdots$ | Privacy <br> $=$ Yes | Privacy <br> $=$ No |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | $\cdots$ | 1 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | $\cdots$ | 0 | 1 |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | $\cdots$ | 1 | 0 |
| 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | $\cdots$ | 1 | 0 |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | $\cdots$ | 1 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | $\cdots$ | 1 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\cdots$ | 0 | 1 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | $\cdots$ | 0 | 1 |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | $\cdots$ | 0 | 1 |
| $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ |

## Handling Categorical Attributes

- Some attributes can have many possible values
- Many of their attribute values have very low support - Potential solution: Aggregate the low-support attribute values



## Handling Categorical Attributes

- Distribution of attribute values can be highly skewed
- Example: 85\% of survey participants own a computer at home - Most records have Computer at home = Yes
- Computation becomes expensive; many frequent itemsets involving the binary item (Computer at home $=\mathrm{Yes}$ )
- Potential solution:
- discard the highly frequent items
- Use alternative measures such as h-confidence
- Computational Complexity
- Binarizing the data increases the number of items
- But the width of the "transactions" remain the same as the number of original (non-binarized) attributes
- Produce more frequent itemsets but maximum size of frequent itemset is limited to the number of original attributes


## Handling Continuous Attributes

- Different methods:
- Discretization-based
- Statistics-based
- Non-discretization based
- minApriori
- Different kinds of rules can be produced:
$-\{$ Age $\in[21,30)$, No of hours online $\in[10,20)\}$ $\rightarrow$ \{Chat Online $=$ Yes $\}$
- \{Age $\in[15,30$ ), Covid-Positive $=$ Yes $\}$ $\rightarrow$ Full_recovery


## Discretization-based Methods
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## Discretization-based Methods

## - Unsupervised:

- Equal-width binning
- Equal-depth binning <12><34567><89>
- Cluster-based
- Supervised discretization

Continuous attribute, v

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Chat Online = Yes | 0 | 0 | 20 | 10 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Chat Online = No | 150 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 100 | 150 | 100 |

## Discretization Issues

| - Interval width | Pattern A |  |  | Pattern B | Pattern C |  | High support region |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (a) Original Data |  | I | 20 | $1$ |  | 1 | 1 |  | Age |
|  | 10 | I |  | 30 | 401 | 50 | 60 |  |  |
|  | 1 |  |  |  | 11 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1 |  |  | 11 | 1 |  |  |  |
|  |  | I |  |  | 11 | \| |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | I |  |  | 11 | । |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1 |  |  | 11 | I |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | I |  |  | 11 | \| |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | I |  |  | 11 | , |  |  |  |
| (b) $\operatorname{Bin}=30$ years |  | I |  |  | 11 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 10 | । |  |  | 401 | \| |  | 70 | Age |
|  | I |  |  |  | 11 | \| |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | I |  |  | 11 | । |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1 |  |  | 11 | ! |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | I |  |  | 11 | । |  |  |  |
|  |  | I |  |  | 11 | । |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1 |  |  | 11 | I |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1 |  |  | 11 | , |  |  |  |
| (c) $\mathrm{Bin}=2$ years |  | -1 | 1 | -111 | 11 | 11 | 1 |  |  |
|  | 1,0 | I | 20 | 30 | 401 | \| 50 | 60 | 70 | Age |
|  |  |  |  |  | 11 | ! |  |  |  |
|  |  | \| |  |  | 11 | ! |  |  |  |

Pattern A: Age $\in[10,15) \longrightarrow$ Chat Online $=$ Never
Pattern B: Age $\in[26,41) \longrightarrow$ Chat Online $=$ Never
Pattern C: Age $\in[42,48) \longrightarrow$ Online Banking $=$ Yes
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## Discretization Issues

- Interval too wide (e.g., Bin size=30)
- May merge several disparate patterns - Patterns $A$ and $B$ are merged together
- May lose some of the interesting patterns
- Pattern C may not have enough confidence
- Interval too narrow (e.g., Bin size = 2)
- Pattern A is broken up into two smaller patterns
- Can recover the pattern by merging adjacent subpatterns
- Pattern B is broken up into smaller patterns
- Cannot recover the pattern by merging adjacent subpatterns
- Some windows may not meet support threshold


## Discretization: all possible intervals

## Number of intervals $=\mathbf{k}$

Total number of Adjacent intervals $=\mathbf{k}(\mathbf{k}-1) / \mathbf{2}$


## - Execution time

- If the range is partitioned into $k$ intervals, there are $O\left(k^{2}\right)$ new items
- If an interval $[a, b)$ is frequent, then all intervals that subsume $[a, b)$ must also be frequent
- E.g.: if $\{$ Age $\in[21,25)$, Chat Online=Yes $\}$ is frequent, then $\{$ Age $\in[10,50)$, Chat Online $=$ Yes $\}$ is also frequent
- Improve efficiency:
- Use maximum support to avoid intervals that are too wide
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## Statistics-based Methods

- Example:
\{Income > 100K, Online Banking=Yes\} $\rightarrow$ Age: $\mu=34$
- Rule consequent consists of a continuous variable, characterized by their statistics
- mean, median, standard deviation, etc.
- Approach:
- Withhold the target attribute from the rest of the data
- Extract frequent itemsets from the rest of the attributes
- Binarize the continuous attributes (except for the target attribute)
- For each frequent itemset, compute the corresponding descriptive statistics of the target attribute
- Frequent itemset becomes a rule by introducing the target variable as rule consequent
- Apply statistical test to determine interestingness of the rule


## Statistics-based Methods

| Gender | $\cdots$ | Age | Annual <br> Income | No of hours spent <br> online per week | No of email <br> accounts | Privacy <br> Concern |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Female | $\cdots$ | 26 | 90 K | 20 | 4 |
| Male | $\cdots$ | 51 | 135 K | 10 | 2 | Yes |
|  | Male | $\cdots$ | 29 | 80 K | 10 | 3 |
| Fo |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Female | $\cdots$ | 45 | 120 K | 15 | 3 |
| Female | $\cdots$ | 31 | 95 K | 20 | Yes |  |
| Male | $\cdots$ | 25 | 55 K | 25 | 5 | Yes |
| Male | $\cdots$ | 37 | 100 K | 10 | 5 | Yes |
| Male | $\cdots$ | 41 | 65 K | 8 | 1 | No |
| Memale | $\cdots$ | 26 | 85 K | 12 | 2 | No |
| $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | 1 | No |

Frequent Itemsets:
\{Male, Income > 100K $\}$
\{Income < 30K, No hours $\in[10,15)\}$
\{Income > 100K, Online Banking = Yes\}

Association Rules:
$\{$ Male, Income > 100K $\} \rightarrow$ Age: $\mu=30$
$\{$ Income $<40 K$, No hours $\in[10,15)\} \rightarrow$ Age: $\mu=24$
\{Income > 100K,Online Banking = Yes\}
$\rightarrow$ Age: $\mu=34$

## Statistics-based Methods

- How to determine whether an association rule interesting?
- Compare the statistics for segment of population covered by the rule vs segment of population not covered by the rule:

$$
\mathrm{A} \Rightarrow \mathrm{~B}: \mu \quad \text { versus } \quad \overline{\mathrm{A}} \Rightarrow \mathrm{~B}: \mu^{\prime}
$$

- Statistical hypothesis testing:
- Null hypothesis: $\mathrm{HO}: \mu^{\prime}=\mu+\Delta$

$$
Z=\frac{\mu^{\prime}-\mu-\Delta}{\sqrt{\frac{s_{1}^{2}}{n_{1}}+\frac{s_{2}^{2}}{n_{2}}}}
$$

- Alternative hypothesis: $\mathrm{H} 1: \mu^{\prime}>\mu+\Delta$
- $Z$ has zero mean and variance 1 under null hypothesis


## Statistics-based Methods

- Example:
r: Covid-Postive \& Quick_Recovery=Yes $\rightarrow$ Age: $\mu=23$
- Rule is interesting if difference between $\mu$ and $\mu^{\prime}$ is more than 5 years (i.e., $\Delta=5$ )
- For $r$, suppose $n 1=50, s 1=3.5$
- For r' (complement): $n 2=250, \mathrm{~s} 2=6.5$

$$
Z=\frac{\mu^{\prime}-\mu-\Delta}{\sqrt{\frac{s_{1}^{2}}{n_{1}}+\frac{s_{2}^{2}}{n_{2}}}}=\frac{30-23-5}{\sqrt{\frac{3.5^{2}}{50}+\frac{6.5^{2}}{250}}}=3.11
$$

- For 1-sided test at 95\% confidence level, critical Z-value for rejecting null hypothesis is 1.64 .
- Since $Z$ is greater than 1.64 , $r$ is an interesting rule


## Min-Apriori

## Document-term matrix:

| TID | W1 | W2 | W3 | W4 | W5 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| D1 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| D2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| D3 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| D4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| D5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 |

Example:
W1 and W2 tends to appear together in the same document

## Min-Apriori

- Data contains only continuous attributes of the same "type"
- e.g., frequency of words in a document
- Potential solution:

| TID | W1 | W2 | W3 | W4 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| D1 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| D2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| D3 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| D4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| D5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |

- Convert into 0/1 matrix and then apply existing algorithms - lose word frequency information
- Discretization does not apply as users want association among words based on how frequently they co-occur, not if they occur with similar frequencies


## Min-Apriori

- How to determine the support of a word?
- If we simply sum up its frequency, support count will be greater than total number of documents!
- Normalize the word vectors - e.g., using $\mathrm{L}_{1}$ norms
- Each word has a support equals to 1.0

| TID | W1 | W2 | W3 | W4 | W5 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| D1 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| D2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| D3 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| D4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| D5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 |$\xrightarrow{\text { Normalize }} \quad$| TID | W1 | W2 | W3 | W4 | W5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| D1 | 0.40 | 0.33 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.17 |
| D2 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.33 | 1.00 | 0.33 |
| D3 | 0.40 | 0.50 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| D4 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.33 | 0.00 | 0.17 |
| D5 | 0.20 | 0.17 | 0.33 | 0.00 | 0.33 |

## Min-Apriori

- New definition of support:

$$
\sup (C)=\sum_{i \in T} \min _{j \in C} D(i, j)
$$

TID W1 W2 W3 W4 W5
D1 $0.40 \quad 0.330 .000 .00 \quad 0.17$
D2 $0.00 \quad 0.00 \quad 0.331 .00 \quad 0.33$
D3 0.400 .500 .000 .000 .00
D4 $0.00 \quad 0.00 \quad 0.33 \quad 0.00 \quad 0.17$
D5 $0.20 \quad 0.17 \quad 0.330 .00 \quad 0.33$

Example:
Sup(W1,W2)
$=.33+0+.4+0+0.17$
$=0.9$

## Anti-monotone property of Support

| TID | W1 | W2 | W3 | W4 | W5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| D1 | 0.40 | 0.33 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.17 |
| D2 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.33 | 1.00 | 0.33 |
| D3 | 0.40 | 0.50 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| D4 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.33 | 0.00 | 0.17 |
| D5 | 0.20 | 0.17 | 0.33 | 0.00 | 0.33 |

Example:
$\operatorname{Sup}(W 1)=0.4+0+0.4+0+0.2=1$
Sup(W1, W2) $=0.33+0+0.4+0+0.17=0.9$
$\operatorname{Sup}(W 1, W 2, W 3)=0+0+0+0+0.17=0.17$

## Concept Hierarchies
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## Multi-level Association Rules

Why should we incorporate concept hierarchy?

- Rules at lower levels may not have enough support to appear in any frequent itemsets
- Rules at lower levels of the hierarchy are overly specific - e.g., following rules are indicative of association between milk and bread
- skim milk $\rightarrow$ white bread,
$-2 \%$ milk $\rightarrow$ wheat bread,
- skim milk $\rightarrow$ wheat bread, etc.
- Rules at higher level of hierarchy may be too generic
- e.g., electronics $\rightarrow$ food


## Multi-level Association Rules

- How do support and confidence vary as we traverse the concept hierarchy?
- If $\quad \sigma(\mathrm{X} 1 \cup \mathrm{Y} 1) \geq$ minsup,
and $\quad X$ is parent of $X 1, Y$ is parent of $Y 1$
then $\quad \sigma(X \cup Y 1) \geq$ minsup, $\sigma(X 1 \cup Y) \geq$ minsup $\sigma(X \cup Y) \geq$ minsup
- If $\quad \operatorname{conf}(X 1 \Rightarrow Y 1) \geq$ minconf, then $\quad \operatorname{conf}(X 1 \Rightarrow Y) \geq$ minconf


$$
\frac{\sigma\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)}{\sigma\left(x_{1}\right)} \leqslant \frac{\sigma\left(x_{1}, y\right)}{\sigma\left(x_{1}\right)}
$$

## Multi-level Association Rules

## Approach 1:

- Extend current association rule formulation by augmenting each transaction with higher level items

Original Transaction: \{skim milk, wheat bread\}
Augmented Transaction:
\{skim milk, wheat bread, milk, bread, food\}

- Issues:
- Items that reside at higher levels have much higher support counts
- if support threshold is low, too many frequent patterns involving items from the higher levels
- Increased dimensionality of the data


## Multi-level Association Rules

- Approach 2:
- Generate frequent patterns at highest level first
- Then, generate frequent patterns at the next highest level, and so on
- Issues:
- I/O requirements will increase dramatically because we need to perform more passes over the data
- May miss some potentially interesting cross-level association patterns


# Data Mining <br> Association Analysis: Advanced Concepts 

## Sequential Patterns

## Examples of Sequence

- Sequence of different transactions by a customer at an online store:
< \{Digital Camera,iPad\} \{memory card\} \{headphone,iPad cover\} >
- Sequence of initiating events causing the nuclear accident at 3-mile Island:
(http://stellar-one.com/nuclear/staff_reports/summary_SOE_the_initiating_event.htm)
$<$ \{clogged resin\} \{outlet valve closure\} \{loss of feedwater\} \{condenser polisher outlet valve shut\} \{booster pumps trip\} \{main waterpump trips\} \{main turbine trips\} \{reactor pressure increases\}>
- Sequence of books checked out at a library:
<\{Fellowship of the Ring\} \{The Two Towers\} \{Return of the King\}>


## Sequential Pattern Discovery: Examples

- In telecommunications alarm logs,
- Inverter_Problem:
(Excessive_Line_Current) (Rectifier_Alarm) --> (Fire_Alarm)
- In point-of-sale transaction sequences,
- Computer Bookstore:
(Intro_To_Visual_C) (C++_Primer) --> (Perl_for_dummies,Tcl_Tk)
- Athletic Apparel Store:
(Shoes) (Racket, Racketball) --> (Sports_Jacket)


## Sequence Data

| Sequence <br> Database | Sequence | Element <br> (Transaction) | Event <br> (Item) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Customer | Purchase history of a given <br> customer | A set of items bought by <br> a customer at time t | Books, diary products, <br> CDs, etc |
| Web Data | Browsing activity of a <br> particular Web visitor | A collection of files <br> viewed by a Web visitor <br> after a single mouse click | Home page, index <br> page, contact info, etc |
| Event data | History of events generated <br> by a given sensor | Events triggered by a <br> sensor at time t | Types of alarms <br> generated by sensors |
| Genome <br> sequences | DNA sequence of a <br> particular species | An element of the DNA <br> sequence | Bases A,T,G,C |

Element (Transaction)
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## Sequence Data



## Sequence Data vs. Market-basket Data

Sequence Database:

| Customer | Date | Items bought |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| A | 10 | $2,3,5$ |
| A | 20 | 1,6 |
| A | 23 | 1 |
| B | 11 | $4,5,6$ |
| B | 17 | 2 |
| B | 21 | $1,2,7,8$ |
| B | 28 | 1,6 |
| C | 14 | $1,7,8$ |

Market- basket Data

| Events |
| :--- |
| $2,3,5$ |
| 1,6 |
| 1 |
| $4,5,6$ |
| 2 |
| $1,2,7,8$ |
| 1,6 |
| $1,7,8$ |

## Sequence Data vs. Market-basket Data

Sequence Database:

| Customer | Date | Items bought |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| A | 10 | $2,3,5$ |
| A | 20 | 1,6 |
| A | 23 | 1 |
| B | 11 | $4,5,6$ |
| B | 17 | 2 |
| B | 21 | $1,2,7,8$ |
| B | 28 | 1,6 |
| C | 14 | $1,7,8$ |

Market- basket Data

| Events |
| :--- |
| $2,3,5$ |
| 1,6 |
| 1 |
| $4,5,6$ |
| 2 |
| $1,2,7,8$ |
| 1,6 |
| $1,7,8$ |

## Formal Definition of a Sequence

- A sequence is an ordered list of elements

$$
s=\left\langle e_{1} e_{2} e_{3} \ldots\right\rangle
$$

- Each element contains a collection of events (items)

$$
e_{i}=\left\{i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{k}\right\}
$$

Length of a sequence, |s|, is given by the number of elements in the sequence

- A $k$-sequence is a sequence that contains $k$ events (items)
$-<\{a, b\}\{a\}>$ has a length of 2 and it is a 3-sequence


## Formal Definition of a Subsequence

- A sequence $t:<a_{1} a_{2} \ldots a_{n}>$ is contained in another sequence $s:<b_{1}$ $b_{2} \ldots b_{m}>(m \geq n)$ if there exist integers $i_{1}<i_{2}<\ldots<i_{n}$ such that $a_{1} \subseteq b_{i 1}, a_{2} \subseteq b_{i 2}, \ldots, a_{n} \subseteq b_{\text {in }}$
- Illustrative Example:

| $\mathrm{s}:$ | $\mathrm{b}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~b}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~b}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{~b}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{~b}_{5}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{t}:$ |  | $\mathrm{a}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{a}_{2}$ |  | $\mathrm{a}_{3}$ |

$t$ is a subsequence of $s$ if $a_{1} \subseteq b_{2}, a_{2} \subseteq b_{3}, a_{3} \subseteq b_{5}$.

| Data sequence | Subsequence | Contain? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $<\{2,4\}\{3,5,6\}\{8\}>$ | $<\{2\}\{8\}>$ | Yes |
| $<\{1,2\}\{3,4\}>$ | $<\{1\}\{2\}>$ | No |
| $<\{2,4\}\{2,4\}\{2,5\}>$ | $<\{2\}\{4\}>$ | Yes |
| $<\{2,4\}\{2,5\}\{4,5\}>$ | $<\{2\}\{4\}\{5\}>$ | No |
| $<\{2,4\}\{2,5\}\{4,5\}>$ | $<\{2\}\{5\}\{5\}>$ | Yes |
| $<\{2,4\}\{2,5\}\{4,5\}>$ | $<\{2,4,5\}>$ | No |

## Sequential Pattern Mining: Definition

- The support of a subsequence w is defined as the fraction of data sequences that contain w
- A sequential pattern is a frequent subsequence (i.e., a subsequence whose support is $\geq$ minsup)
- Given:
- a database of sequences
- a user-specified minimum support threshold, minsup
- Task:
- Find all subsequences with support $\geq$ minsup


## Sequential Pattern Mining: Example

| Object | Timestamp | Events |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| A | 1 | $1,2,4$ |
| A | 2 | 2,3 |
| A | 3 | 5 |
| B | 1 | 1,2 |
| B | 2 | $2,3,4$ |
| C | 1 | 1,2 |
| C | 2 | $2,3,4$ |
| C | 3 | $2,4,5$ |
| D | 1 | 2 |
| D | 2 | 3,4 |
| D | 3 | 4,5 |
| E | 1 | 1,3 |
| E | 2 | $2,4,5$ |


|  |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Minsup $=50 \%$ |  |
|  |  |
| Examples of Frequent Subsequences: |  |
|  |  |
| $<\{1,2\}>$ | $\mathrm{s}=60 \%$ |
| $<\{2,3\}>$ | $\mathrm{s}=60 \%$ |
| $<\{2,4\}>$ | $\mathrm{s}=80 \%$ |
| $<\{3\}\{5\}>$ | $\mathrm{s}=80 \%$ |
| $<\{1\}\{2\}>$ | $\mathrm{s}=80 \%$ |
| $<\{2\}\{2\}>$ | $\mathrm{s}=60 \%$ |
| $<\{1\}\{2,3\}>$ | $\mathrm{s}=60 \%$ |
| $<\{2\}\{2,3\}>$ | $\mathrm{s}=60 \%$ |
| $<\{1,2\}\{2,3\}>$ | $\mathrm{s}=60 \%$ |
|  |  |

## Sequence Data vs. Market-basket Data

Sequence Database:

| Customer | Date | Items bought |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| A | 10 | $2,3,5$ |
| A | 20 | 1,6 |
| A | 23 | 1 |
| B | 11 | $4,5,6$ |
| B | 17 | 2 |
| B | 21 | $1,2,7,8$ |
| B | 28 | 1,6 |
| C | 14 | $1,7,8$ |

$\{2\}->\{1\}$
$\operatorname{conf}(\{2\} \rightarrow\{1\})=\frac{\sigma(\{2\}\{1\})}{\sigma(\{2\})}$

Market- basket Data

| Events |
| :--- |
| $2,3,5$ |
| 1,6 |
| 1 |
| $4,5,6$ |
| 2 |
| $1,2,7,8$ |
| 1,6 |
| $1,7,8$ |

$(1,8)->(7)$
$\operatorname{conf}(1,8) \rightarrow(7))=\frac{\sigma(1,7,8)}{\sigma(\{1,8\})}$

## Extracting Sequential Patterns

Given $n$ events: $i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3}, \ldots, i_{n}$

- Candidate 1-subsequences:

$$
<\left\{i_{1}\right\}>,<\left\{i_{2}\right\}>,<\left\{i_{3}\right\}>, \ldots,<\left\{i_{n}\right\}>
$$

Candidate 2-subsequences:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& <\left\{\mathrm{i}_{1}, \mathrm{i}_{2}\right\}>,<\left\{\mathrm{i}_{1}, \mathrm{i}_{3}\right\}>, \ldots, \\
& <\left\{\mathrm{i}_{1}\right\}\left\{\mathrm{i}_{1}\right\}>,<\left\{\mathrm{i}_{1}\right\}\left\{\mathrm{i}_{2}\right\}>, \ldots,<\left\{\mathrm{i}_{n}\right\}\left\{\mathrm{i}_{n}\right\}>
\end{aligned}
$$

- Candidate 3-subsequences:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& <\left\{i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3}\right\}>,<\left\{i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{4}\right\}>, \ldots, \\
& <\left\{i_{1}, i_{2}\right\}\left\{i_{1}\right\}>,<\left\{i_{1}, i_{2}\right\}\left\{i_{2}\right\}>, \ldots, \\
& <\left\{i_{1}\right\}\left\{i_{1}, i_{2}\right\}>,<\left\{i_{1}\right\}\left\{i_{1}, i_{3}\right\}>, \ldots, \\
& <\left\{i_{1}\right\}\left\{i_{1}\right\}\left\{i_{1}\right\}>,<\left\{i_{1}\right\}\left\{i_{1}\right\}\left\{i_{2}\right\}>, \ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

## Extracting Sequential Patterns: Simple example

- Given 2 events: a, b
- Candidate 1-subsequences:
< $\{a\}>,<\{b\}>$.

(a,b)

Item-set patterns

- Candidate 2-subsequences:

$$
<\{a\}\{a\}>,<\{a\}\{b\}>,<\{b\}\{a\}>,<\{b\}\{b\}>,<\{a, b\}>.
$$

Candidate 3-subsequences:
$<\{a\}\{a\}\{a\}>,<\{a\}\{a\}\{b\}>,<\{a\}\{b\}\{a\}>,<\{a\}\{b\}\{b\}>$,
$<\{b\}\{b\}\{b\}>,<\{b\}\{b\}\{a\}>,<\{b\}\{a\}\{b\}>,<\{b\}\{a\}\{a\}>$
$<\{a, b\}\{a\}>,<\{a, b\}\{b\}>,<\{a\}\{a, b\}>,<\{b\}\{a, b\}>$

## Generalized Sequential Pattern (GSP)

- Step 1:
- Make the first pass over the sequence database $D$ to yield all the 1element frequent sequences
- Step 2:

Repeat until no new frequent sequences are found

- Candidate Generation:
- Merge pairs of frequent subsequences found in the (k-1)th pass to generate candidate sequences that contain $k$ items
- Candidate Pruning:
- Prune candidate $k$-sequences that contain infrequent $(k-1)$-subsequences
- Support Counting:
- Make a new pass over the sequence database D to find the support for these candidate sequences
- Candidate Elimination:
- Eliminate candidate $k$-sequences whose actual support is less than minsup


## Candidate Generation

- Base case (k=2):
- Merging two frequent 1 -sequences $<\left\{i_{1}\right\}>$ and $<\left\{i_{2}\right\}>$ will produce the following candidate 2-sequences: $<\left\{\mathrm{i}_{1}\right\}\left\{\mathrm{i}_{1}\right\}>,<\left\{\mathrm{i}_{1}\right\}\left\{\mathrm{i}_{2}\right\}>,<\left\{\mathrm{i}_{2}\right\}\left\{\mathrm{i}_{2}\right\}>,<\left\{\mathrm{i}_{2}\right\}\left\{\mathrm{i}_{1}\right\}>$ and $<\left\{i_{1}, i_{2}\right\}>$. (Note: $<\left\{i_{1}\right\}>$ can be merged with itself to produce: $<\left\{i_{1}\right\}\left\{i_{1}\right\}>$ )
- General case ( $k>2$ ):
- A frequent $(k-1)$-sequence $\mathrm{w}_{1}$ is merged with another frequent $(k-1)$-sequence $w_{2}$ to produce a candidate $k$-sequence if the subsequence obtained by removing an event from the first element in $w_{1}$ is the same as the subsequence obtained by removing an event from the last element in $\mathrm{W}_{2}$


## Candidate Generation

- Base case (k=2):
- Merging two frequent 1 -sequences $<\left\{i_{1}\right\}>$ and $<\left\{i_{2}\right\}>$ will produce the following candidate 2-sequences: $<\left\{i_{1}\right\}\left\{i_{1}\right\}>,<\left\{i_{1}\right\}\left\{i_{2}\right\}>,<\left\{i_{2}\right\}\left\{i_{2}\right\}>,<\left\{i_{2}\right\}\left\{i_{1}\right\}>$ and $\left\langle\left\{\mathrm{i}_{1} \mathrm{i}_{2}\right\}>\right.$. (Note: $\left\langle\left\{\mathrm{i}_{1}\right\}>\right.$ can be merged with itself to produce: $\left\langle\left\{\mathrm{i}_{1}\right\}\left\{\mathrm{i}_{1}\right\}>\right.$ )
- General case ( $k>2$ ):
- A frequent ( $k-1$ )-sequence $\mathrm{w}_{1}$ is merged with another frequent ( $k-1$ )-sequence $w_{2}$ to produce a candidate $k$-sequence if the subsequence obtained by removing an event from the first element in $\mathrm{w}_{1}$ is the same as the subsequence obtained by removing an event from the last element in $\mathrm{w}_{2}$
- The resulting candidate after merging is given by extending the sequence $w_{1}$ as follows-
- If the last element of $w_{2}$ has only one event, append it to $w_{1}$
- Otherwise add the event from the last element of $\mathrm{w}_{2}$ (which is absent in the last element of $w_{1}$ ) to the last element of $w_{1}$


## Candidate Generation Examples

- Merging $w_{1}=<\{123\}\{46\}>$ and $w_{2}=<\{23\}\{46\}\{5\}>$ produces the candidate sequence < \{1 23$\}\{46\}\{5\}>$ because the last element of $\mathrm{w}_{2}$ has only one event
- Merging $w_{1}=<\{1\}\{23\}\{4\}>$ and $w_{2}=<\{23\}\{45\}>$ produces the candidate sequence $<\{1\}\{23\}\{45\}>$ because the last element in $\mathrm{w}_{2}$ has more than one event
- Merging $\mathrm{w}_{1}=<\{123\}>$ and $\mathrm{w}_{2}=<\{234\}>$
produces the candidate sequence < \{1 234$\}>$ because the last element in $\mathrm{w}_{2}$ has more than one event
- We do not have to merge the sequences $\mathrm{w}_{1}=<\{1\}\{26\}\{4\}>$ and $\mathrm{w}_{2}=<\{1\}\{2\}\{45\}>$ to produce the candidate $<\{1\}\{26\}\{45\}>$ because if the latter is a viable candidate, then it can be obtained by merging $\mathrm{w}_{1}$ with $<\{26\}\{45\}>$


## Candidate Generation: Examples (ctd)

- Can < $\{a\},\{b\},\{c\}>$ merge with $<\{b\},\{c\},\{f\}>$
- Can $<\{a\},\{b\},\{c\}>$ merge with $<\{b, c\},\{f\}>$ ?
- Can $<\{a\},\{b\},\{c\}>$ merge with $<\{b\},\{c, f\}>$ ?
- Can < $\{a, b\},\{c\}>$ merge with $<\{b\},\{c, f\}>$ ?
- Can < $\{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}\}>$ merge with < $\{\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{f}\}>$ ?
- Can < $a \mathrm{a}\}>$ merge with < a$\}>$ ?


## Candidate Generation: Examples (ctd)

- < $\{a\},\{b\},\{c\}>$ can be merged with < $\{b\},\{c\},\{f\}>$ to produce $<\{a\},\{b\},\{c\},\{f\}>$
- <\{a\},\{b\},\{c\}> cannot be merged with <\{b,c\},\{f\}>
- <\{a\},\{b\},\{c\}> can be merged with <\{b\},\{c,f\}> to produce <\{a\},\{b\},\{c,f\}>
- <\{a,b\},\{c\}> can be merged with <\{b\},\{c,f\}> to produce <\{a,b\},\{c,f\}>
- <\{a,b,c\}> can be merged with <\{b,c,f\}> to produce <\{a,b,c,f\}>
- < $\{a\}\{b\}\{a\}>$ can be merged with < $\{b\}\{a\}\{b\}>$ to produce $<\{a\},\{b\},\{a\},\{b\}>$
- <\{b\}\{a\}\{b\}> can be merged with <\{a\}\{b\}\{a\}> to produce $<\{b\},\{a\},\{b\},\{a\}>$


## GSP Example

Frequent
3-sequences
$<\{1\}\{2\}\{3\}>$
$<\{1\}\{25\}>$
$<\{1\}\{5\}\{3\}>$
$<\{2\}\{3\}\{4\}>$
$<\{25\}\{3\}>$
$<\{3\}\{4\}\{5\}>$
< $\{5\}\{34\}>$


## GSP Example

Frequent
3-sequences



Candidate
Pruning

$$
<\{1\}\{25\}\{3\}>
$$

## Timing Constraints (I)


$x_{g}$ : max-gap
$n_{g}$ : min-gap
$\mathbf{m}_{\mathrm{s}}$ : maximum span
$x_{g}=2, n_{g}=0, m_{s}=4$

| Data sequence, $\mathbf{d}$ | Sequential Pattern, s | d contains s? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $<\{2,4\}\{3,5,6\}\{4,7\}\{4,5\}\{8\}>$ | $<\{6\}\{5\}>$ | Yes |
| $<\{1\}\{2\}\{3\}\{4\}\{5\}>$ | $<\{1\}\{4\}>$ | No |
| $<\{1\}\{2,3\}\{3,4\}\{4,5\}>$ | $<\{2\}\{3\}\{5\}>$ | Yes |
| $<\{1,2\}\{3\}\{2,3\}\{3,4\}\{2,4\}\{4,5\}>$ | $<\{1,2\}\{5\}>$ | No |
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## Mining Sequential Patterns with Timing Constraints

## Approach 1:

- Mine sequential patterns without timing constraints
- Postprocess the discovered patterns
- Approach 2:
- Modify GSP to directly prune candidates that violate timing constraints
- Question:
- Does Apriori principle still hold?


## Apriori Principle for Sequence Data

| Object | Timestamp | Events |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| A | 1 | $1,2,4$ |
| A | 2 | 2,3 |
| A | 3 | 5 |
| B | 1 | 1,2 |
| B | 2 | $2,3,4$ |
| C | 1 | 1,2 |
| C | 2 | $2,3,4$ |
| C | 3 | $2,4,5$ |
| D | 1 | 2 |
| D | 2 | 3,4 |
| D | 3 | 4,5 |
| E | 1 | 1,3 |
| E | 2 | $2,4,5$ |

Suppose:
$x_{g}=1$ (max-gap)
$\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{g}}=0$ (min-gap)
$\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{s}}=5$ (maximum span)
minsup $=60 \%$
$<\{2\}\{5\}>$ support $=40 \%$
but
$<\{2\}\{3\}\{5\}>$ support $=60 \%$

Problem exists because of max-gap constraint
No such problem if max-gap is infinite
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## Contiguous Subsequences

- $s$ is a contiguous subsequence of

$$
\left.\left.w=\left\langle e_{1}\right\rangle<e_{2}\right\rangle \ldots<e_{k}\right\rangle
$$

if any of the following conditions hold:

1. $s$ is obtained from $w$ by deleting an item from either $e_{1}$ or $e_{k}$
2. $s$ is obtained from $w$ by deleting an item from any element $e_{i}$ that contains at least 2 items
3. $s$ is a contiguous subsequence of $s$ ' and $s$ ' is a contiguous subsequence of $w$ (recursive definition)

- Examples: $s=<\{1\}\{2\}>$
- is a contiguous subsequence of
$<\{1\}\{23\}>,<\{12\}\{2\}\{3\}>$, and $<\{34\}\{12\}\{23\}\{4\}>$
- is not a contiguous subsequence of
$<\{1\}\{3\}\{2\}>$ and $<\{2\}\{1\}\{3\}\{2\}>$
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## Modified Candidate Pruning Step

Without maxgap constraint:

- A candidate $k$-sequence is pruned if at least one of its ( $k-1$ )-subsequences is infrequent
- With maxgap constraint:
- A candidate $k$-sequence is pruned if at least one of its contiguous ( $k-1$ )-subsequences is infrequent


## Timing Constraints (II)


$x_{g}$ : max-gap
$\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{g}}$ : min-gap
ws: window size
$m_{s}$ : maximum span
$x_{g}=2, n_{g}=0, w s=1, m_{s}=5$

| Data sequence, $\mathbf{d}$ | Sequential Pattern, s | $\mathbf{d}$ contains s? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $<\{2,4\}\{3,5,6\}\{4,7\}\{4,5\}\{8\}>$ | $<\{3,4,5\}>$ | Yes |
| $<\{1\}\{2\}\{3\}\{4\}\{5\}>$ | $<\{1,2\}\{3,4\}>$ | No |
| $<\{1,2\}\{2,3\}\{3,4\}\{4,5\}>$ | $<\{1,2\}\{3,4\}>$ | Yes |
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## Modified Support Counting Step

- Given a candidate sequential pattern: < \{a, c\}>
- Any data sequences that contain
$<\ldots\{a c\} \ldots>$,
$<\ldots\{a\} \ldots\{c\} \ldots>\quad$ (where time $(\{c\})-\operatorname{time}(\{a\}) \leq w s)$
$<\ldots\{c\} \ldots\{a\} \ldots>$ (where time $(\{a\})-\operatorname{time}(\{c\}) \leq w s)$
will contribute to the support count of candidate pattern


## Other Formulation

- In some domains, we may have only one very long time series
- Example:
- monitoring network traffic events for attacks
- monitoring telecommunication alarm signals
- Goal is to find frequent sequences of events in the time series
- This problem is also known as frequent episode mining



## General Support Counting Schemes



## Data Mining <br> Association Analysis: Advanced Concepts

## Subgraph Mining
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## Frequent Subgraph Mining

- Extends association analysis to finding frequent subgraphs
- Useful for Web Mining, computational chemistry, bioinformatics, spatial data sets, etc



## Graph Definitions


(b) Subgraph
(a) Labeled Graph
(c) Induced Subgraph
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## Representing Transactions as Graphs

Each transaction is a clique of items

| Transaction <br> Id | Items |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\{A, B, C, D\}$ |
| 2 | $\{A, B, E\}$ |
| 3 | $\{B, C\}$ |
| 4 | $\{A, B, D, E\}$ |
| 5 | $\{B, C, D\}$ |

## Representing Graphs as Transactions
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## Challenges

- Node may contain duplicate labels
- Support and confidence
- How to define them?
- Additional constraints imposed by pattern structure
- Support and confidence are not the only constraints
- Assumption: frequent subgraphs must be connected
- Apriori-like approach:
- Use frequent $k$-subgraphs to generate frequent $(k+1)$ subgraphs
What is $k$ ?


## Challenges...

## - Support:

- number of graphs that contain a particular subgraph
- Apriori principle still holds
- Level-wise (Apriori-like) approach:
- Vertex growing:
- $k$ is the number of vertices
- Edge growing:
- $k$ is the number of edges


## Vertex Growing



G1


G2

$\mathrm{G} 3=\mathrm{join}(\mathrm{G} 1, \mathrm{G} 2)$

$$
M_{c 1}=\left(\begin{array}{llll}
0 & p & p & q \\
p & 0 & r & 0 \\
p & r & 0 & 0 \\
q & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

$$
M_{c 2}=\left(\begin{array}{llll}
0 & p & p & 0 \\
p & 0 & r & 0 \\
p & r & 0 & r \\
0 & 0 & r & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

$$
M_{G 3}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
0 & p & p & q & 0 \\
p & 0 & r & 0 & 0 \\
p & r & 0 & 0 & r \\
q & 0 & 0 & 0 & ? \\
0 & 0 & r & ? & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

## Edge Growing
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## Apriori-like Algorithm

## Find frequent 1-subgraphs

- Repeat
- Candidate generation
- Use frequent ( $k$-1)-subgraphs to generate candidate $k$-subgraph
- Candidate pruning
- Prune candidate subgraphs that contain infrequent ( $k-1$ )-subgraphs
- Support counting
- Count the support of each remaining candidate
- Eliminate candidate $k$-subgraphs that are infrequent

In practice, it is not as easy. There are many other issues

## Example: Dataset



G1

|  | $(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{p})$ | $(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{q})$ | $(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{r})$ | $(\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{p})$ | $(\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{q})$ | $(\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{r})$ | $\ldots$ | $(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{e}, \mathrm{r})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| G1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | $\ldots$ | 0 |
| G2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\ldots$ | 0 |
| G3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | $\ldots$ | 0 |
| G4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\ldots$ | 0 |



G4

## Example

Minimum support count $=2$
$\mathrm{k}=1$
a b
(c)
d
(e)

Frequent
Subgraphs


Frequent
Subgraphs
c. p d c p e


## Candidate Generation

- In Apriori:
- Merging two frequent $k$-itemsets will produce a candidate ( $k+1$ )-itemset
- In frequent subgraph mining (vertex/edge growing)
- Merging two frequent $k$-subgraphs may produce more than one candidate $(k+1)$-subgraph


## Multiplicity of Candidates (Vertex Growing)



G1
$+$


G2
$\mathrm{G} 3=\mathrm{join}(\mathrm{G} 1, \mathrm{G} 2)$
$M_{G 1}=\left(\begin{array}{llll}0 & p & p & q \\ p & 0 & r & 0 \\ p & r & 0 & 0 \\ q & 0 & 0 & 0\end{array}\right) \quad M_{G 2}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}0 & p & p & 0 \\ p & 0 & r & 0 \\ p & r & 0 & r \\ 0 & 0 & r & 0\end{array}\right)$

$$
M_{o s}=\left(\begin{array}{lllll}
0 & p & p & 0 & q \\
p & 0 & r & 0 & 0 \\
p & r & 0 & r & 0 \\
0 & 0 & r & 0 & ? \\
q & 0 & 0 & ? & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

## Multiplicity of Candidates (Edge growing)

- Case 1: identical vertex labels

 $+$




## Multiplicity of Candidates (Edge growing)

Case 2: Core contains identical labels


## Multiplicity of Candidates (Edge growing)

Case 3: Core multiplicity
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## Topological Equivalence



G1


G2


G3

## Candidate Generation by Edge Growing



- Case 1: $a \neq c$ and $b \neq d$



## Candidate Generation by Edge Growing

- Case 2: $a=c$ and $b \neq d$



## Candidate Generation by Edge Growing

- Case 3: $a \neq c$ and $b=d$


G3 $=\operatorname{Merge}(\mathrm{G} 1, \mathrm{G} 2)$


## Candidate Generation by Edge Growing

- Case 4: $a=c$ and $b=d$
G3 = Merge(G1,G2)

$\mathrm{G} 3=\operatorname{Merge}(\mathrm{G} 1, \mathrm{G} 2)$



## Graph Isomorphism

- A graph is isomorphic if it is topologically equivalent to another graph
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## Graph Isomorphism

- Test for graph isomorphism is needed:
- During candidate generation step, to determine whether a candidate has been generated
- During candidate pruning step, to check whether its ( $k-1$ )-subgraphs are frequent
- During candidate counting, to check whether a candidate is contained within another graph


## Graph Isomorphism

| $\mathrm{A}(1) \quad \mathrm{A}(2)$ |  | A(1) | A(2) | A(3) | A(4) | B(5) | B(6) | B(7) | B(8) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A(1) | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | A(2) | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
|  | A(3) | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
|  | A(4) | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
|  | B(5) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
|  | B(6) | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
|  | B(7) | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
|  | B(8) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  |  | A(1) | A(2) | A(3) | A(4) | B(5) | B(6) | B(7) | B(8) |
|  | A(1) | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
|  | A(2) | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
|  | A(3) | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | A(4) | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
|  | B(5) | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
|  | B(6) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | B(7) | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
|  | B(8) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |

- The same graph can be represented in many ways
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## Graph Isomorphism

- Use canonical labeling to handle isomorphism
- Map each graph into an ordered string representation (known as its code) such that two isomorphic graphs will be mapped to the same canonical encoding
- Example:
- Lexicographically largest adjacency matrix
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## Example of Canonical Labeling (Kuramochi \& Karypis, ICDM 2001)

- Graph:

- Adjacency matrix representation:

| id | $a$ | $b$ | $c$ | $d$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| label | $v_{0}$ | $v_{0}$ | $v_{1}$ | $v_{0}$ |
| $a$ | 0 | $e_{0}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $b$ | $e_{0}$ | 0 | $e_{0}$ | $e_{1}$ |
| $c$ | 0 | $e_{0}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $d$ | 0 | $e_{1}$ | 0 | 0 |
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## Example of Canonical Labeling <br> (Kuramochi \& Karypis, ICDM 2001)

- Order based on vertex degree:

| id <br> label <br> partition | $v_{0}$ | $c$ | $d$ | $b$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $v_{1}$ | $v_{0}$ | $v_{0}$ |  |  |
| $a$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | $e_{0}$ |
| $c$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | $e_{0}$ |
| $d$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | $e_{1}$ |
| $b$ | $e_{0}$ | $e_{0}$ | $e_{1}$ | 0 |

- Order based on vertex labels:

| id | $d$ | $a$ | $c$ | $b$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| label | $v_{0}$ | $v_{0}$ | $v_{1}$ | $v_{0}$ |
| partition | 0 |  | 1 | 2 |
| $d$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | $e_{1}$ |
| $a$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | $e_{0}$ |
| $c$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | $e_{0}$ |
| $b$ | $e_{1}$ | $e_{0}$ | $e_{0}$ | 0 |

## Example of Canonical Labeling (Kuramochi \& Karypis, ICDM 2001)

- Find canonical label:

| id | $d$ | $a$ | $c$ | $b$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| label | $v_{0}$ | $v_{0}$ | $v_{1}$ | $v_{0}$ |
| partition | 0 |  | 1 | 2 |
| $d$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | $e_{1}$ |
| $a$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | $e_{0}$ |
| $c$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | $e_{0}$ |
| $b$ | $e_{0}$ | $e_{1}$ | $e_{0}$ | 0 |


| id <br> label partition | $\begin{array}{cc} a & d \\ v_{0} & v_{0} \\ & 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} c \\ v_{1} \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} b \\ v_{0} \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $a$ | 00 | 0 | $e_{0}$ |
| $d$ | $0 \quad 0$ | 0 | $e_{1}$ |
| c | 0 | 0 | $e_{0}$ |
| $b$ | $e_{0} \quad e_{1}$ | $e_{0}$ | 0 |

$$
\underset{\substack{\text { (Canonical Label) }}}{000 e_{1} \mathbf{e}_{0} \mathbf{e}_{0}} \quad>\quad 000 \mathbf{e}_{0} \mathbf{e}_{1} \mathbf{e}_{0}
$$

