This errata was sent to SIAM for the second printing of the book – so you may find these errors if you bought the copies from the first printing (before 2004 or so).

Many thanks to Kees Vuik, Zhong-Zhi Bai, Sabine Le Borne, and Rudnei Dias da Cunha, for bringing a few errors to my attention.

1 The easy ones

1. Page 140, Line 5 of section 5.3.2. (r, r) should be (Ar, r). Correct formula is:

$$\alpha \leftarrow (Ar, r) / (Ar, Ar)$$

The same error occurs again in line 3 of algorithm 5.3 which should be:

3.
$$\alpha \leftarrow (Ar, r)/(p, p)$$

2. Page 145, Line -3. There is no square in the denominator. Correct formula:

$$\|d_{new}\|_A \le \left(1 - \frac{1}{n\kappa(A)}\right)^{1/2} \|d\|_A,$$

- 3. Page 146, line 10. Same formula same error.
- 4. Page 425, Line 15. $u_{new}^h = ..$ has a term missing in the brackets. Correct formula is

$$u_{new}^{h} = S_{h}^{\nu_{2}} [S_{h}^{\nu_{1}} u_{0}^{h} + I_{H}^{h} A_{H}^{-1} I_{h}^{H} (-A_{h} S_{h}^{\nu_{1}} u_{0}^{h})].$$

- 5. Page 426, Line -15: $7/3\eta n$ should be replaced by $(4/3)\eta n$.
- 6. Page 209, Line 2 of Algorithm 6.24 should be:
 - 2. For $j = p, p + 1, \dots, m + p 1$, Do:
- 7. Page 191, Lines -1 and -2 and Page 192, line 2: $-\gamma_m$ should be $+\gamma_m$. Also in Line 5. of Algorithm 6.19, $-\gamma_j$ should be $+\gamma_j$.

2 Problems with figures

1. In Figure 1.1, a big diagonal across the base rectangle got inserted (this was not in my original figure). Here is the original figure:



2. In Figure 3.11 (p. 95). 5 and 6 need to be interchanged in the left figure. The correct figure is:



3 Section 9.6

This section contains a few errors (Thanks to Kees Vuik for finding these) and it is best to rewrite the section.

4 The Concus, Golub, and Widlund Algorithm

When the matrix is nearly symmetric, we can think of preconditioning the system with the symmetric part of A. This gives rise to a few variants of a method known as the CGW method, from the names of the three authors Concus and Golub [88], and Widlund [312] who proposed this technique in the middle of the 1970s. Originally, the algorithm was not viewed from the angle of preconditioning. Writing A = M - N, with $M = \frac{1}{2}(A + A^H)$, the authors observed that the preconditioned matrix

$$M^{-1}A = I - M^{-1}N$$

is equal to the identity matrix, plus a matrix which is skew-Hermitian with respect to the M-inner product. It is not too difficult to show that the tridiagonal matrix corresponding to the Lanczos algorithm, applied to A with the M-inner product, has the form

$$T_m = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\eta_2 & & & \\ \eta_2 & 1 & -\eta_3 & & \\ & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \\ & & \eta_{m-1} & 1 & -\eta_m \\ & & & & \eta_m & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (1)

As a result, a three-term recurrence in the Arnoldi process is obtained, which results in a solution algorithm that resembles the standard preconditioned CG algorithm (Algorithm 9.1).

A version of the algorithm can be derived easily. The developments in Section 6.7 relating the Lanczos algorithm to the Conjugate Gradient algorithm, show that the vector x_{j+1} can be expressed as

$$x_{j+1} = x_j + \alpha_j p_j.$$

The preconditioned residual vectors must then satisfy the recurrence

$$z_{j+1} = z_j - \alpha_j M^{-1} A p_j$$

and if the z_j 's are to be *M*-orthogonal, then we must have $(z_j - \alpha_j M^{-1} A p_j, z_j)_M = 0$. As a result,

$$\alpha_j = \frac{(z_j, z_j)_M}{(M^{-1}Ap_j, z_j)_M} = \frac{(r_j, z_j)}{(Ap_j, z_j)}.$$

Also, the next search direction p_{j+1} is a linear combination of z_{j+1} and p_j ,

$$p_{j+1} = z_{j+1} + \beta_j p_j$$

Since $M^{-1}Ap_j$ is orthogonal to all vectors in \mathcal{K}_{j-1} , a first consequence is that

$$(Ap_j, z_j) = (M^{-1}Ap_j, p_j - \beta_{j-1}p_{j-1})_M = (M^{-1}Ap_j, p_j)_M = (Ap_j, p_j).$$

In addition, $M^{-1}Ap_{j+1}$ must be M-orthogonal to p_j , so that $\beta_j = -(M^{-1}Az_{j+1}, p_j)_M/(M^{-1}Ap_j, p_j)_M$. The relation $M^{-1}A = I - M^{-1}N$, the fact that $N^H = -N$, and that $(z_{j+1}, p_j)_M = 0$ yield,

$$(M^{-1}Az_{j+1}, p_j)_M = -(M^{-1}Nz_{j+1}, p_j)_M = (z_{j+1}, M^{-1}Np_j)_M = -(z_{j+1}, M^{-1}Ap_j)_M.$$

Finally, note that $M^{-1}Ap_j = -\frac{1}{\alpha_j}(z_{j+1} - z_j)$ and therefore we have (note the sign difference with the standard PCG algorithm)

$$\beta_j = -\frac{(z_{j+1}, z_{j+1})_M}{(z_j, z_j)_M} = -\frac{(z_{j+1}, r_{j+1})}{(z_j, r_j)}.$$